Official Use Only

OREGON
March I
Coos County
EST. 1853

Coos County Planning Department Application to Develop in a Special Flood Hazard Area

Fee	_
Receipt No.	
Check No./Cash	
Date	
Received By	
File No.	

The undersigned hereby makes application for a permit to develop in a designated Special Flood Hazard Area ("floodplain"). The work to be performed is described below and in attachments hereto. The undersigned agrees that all such work shall be done in accordance with the requirements of the Coos County Comprehensive Plan, Coos County Zoning and Land Development Ordinance, and any other applicable Local, State, and Federal regulations. This application does not create liability on the part of the Coos County Planning Department or any officer or employee thereof for any flood damage that results from the reliance on this application or any decision made lawfully thereunder.

Owner(s):	Scott Elliott	Telephone:	541-404-8981							
Address:	61018 Selander Rd.									
City/State:	Coos Bay, OR	Zip Code:	97420							
Agent(s):	Coos Watershed Association c/o Dan Draper	Telephone:	541-888-5922 x308							
Address:	P.O Box 388									
City/State:	Coos Bay, OR	Zip Code:	97420							
Township:	26S	Section:	29							
Range:	12W	Tax Lot:	200, 1000 and 1300							
Situs Address:										
City/State:		Zip Code:								
A. Descripti	on of Work (Complete for All	Proposals):								
1. Propos	ed Development Description:									
□ New □ Man ⊠ Othe	⁷ Building ufactured Structure er <u>Improving Fish Passage in Seelan</u> Please see attached report for me	Improvem	ent to Existing Building n adjacent to Catching Slough.							
Application to Develop in a Special Flood Hazard Area Revised February 2016										

Page 1 of 4

2. Size and location of proposed development (a site plan must be attack
--

Seelander Creek drains into Catching Slough at approximately river mile 7.6, with the project being located

on the east side of catching Slough, in Coos County, Oregon. The tax lots affected by this project include:

26S 12W 29 - 1000 & 1300	
26S 12W 29DC - 200	The property is ~45 acres with the project restoring/enhancing ~10+ acres.

- 3. Is the proposed development in a Special Flood Hazard Area (Zones A, AE, A1-A30, AH, AO, V, or VE)?
 - X Yes Zone: A
 - 🗌 No
- 4. Per the FIRM, what is the zone and panel number of the area of the proposed development?

ucvetopin

Zone:

Panel Number: 41011C0345F

Α

5. Have any other Federal, State, or Local permits been obtained?

6. Is the proposed development in an identified floodway?

☑ Yes - A "No Rise Certification" with supporting data must be attached.□ No

B. Complete for New Structures and Building Site:

1. Base Flood Elevation (BFE) at the site (complete one):

Application to Develop in a Special Flood Hazard Area Revised February 2016 Page 2 of 4

C. Complete for Alterations, Additions, or Improvements to Existing Structures:

- 1. What is the estimated market value of the existing structure? Justification for the estimate must be attached and may include, but is not limited to, appraisals completed by private agencies or the County Assessor's office.
- 2. What is the cost of the proposed construction? Justification for the estimate must be attached. The estimate is required to include fair market value for any work provided by the property owner or without compensation.
- 3. If the cost of the proposed construction equals or exceeds 50 percent of the market value of the structure, then the substantial improvement provisions shall apply.

D. Complete for Non-Residential Floodproofed Construction:

1. Type of floodproofing method:

2. The required floodproofing elevation is (complete one):

□ NGVD 29 feet Source:

□ NAVD 88 _____ feet Source: _____

3. Floodproofing certification by a registered engineer must be attached.

E. Complete for Land Divisions, Subdivisions, and Planned Unit Development:

1. Does the proposal contain 50 lots or 5 acres?

Yes - The plat or proposal must clearly identify base flood elevation.
No

2. Are the 100-year Floodplain and Floodway delineated on the site plan?

Yes
No

Application to Develop in a Special Flood Hazard Area Revised February 2016 Page 3 of 4

F. Authorization: All areas must be initialed by all applicant(s) prior to the Planning Department accepting any application.

I hereby attest that I am authorized to make the application for Application to Develop in a Special Flood Hazard Area and the statements within this application are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief. I affirm that this is a legally created tract, lot or parcel of land. I understand that I have the right to an attorney for verification as to the creation of the subject property. I understand that any action authorized by Coos County may be revoked if it is determined that the action was issued based upon false statements or misrepresentation.

Applicant

I understand it is the function of the Planning Department to impartially review my application and to address all issues affecting it regardless of whether the issues promote or hinder the approval of my application. In the event a public hearing is required to consider my application, I agree I bear the burden of proof. I understand that approval is not guaranteed and the applicant(s) bear the burden of proof to demonstrate compliance with the applicable review criteria.

Applicant

Applicant

As applicant(s) I/we acknowledge that is in my/our desire to submit this application and staff has not encouraged or discouraged the submittal of this application.

Applicant(s) Original Signature

Applicant(s) Original Signature

Date

Date

Application to Develop in a Special Flood Hazard Area Revised February 2016 Page 4 of 4

Seelander Creek Project:

FLOODPLAIN ANALYSIS

OCTOBER 2023

Prepared By:

Kilgren Water Resources, LLC 3365 East Amazon Drive Eugene, OR 97405

Prepared on Behalf of:

Coos Watershed Association P.O. Box 388 Coos Bay, OR 97420



Table of Contents

1	Ir	ntroc	duction	
	1.1	E	Background	1
	1.2	F	Proposed Project	1
	1.3	F	Purpose of Analysis	1
	1	.3.1	Coos County Zoning and Land Development Ordinances: Chapter 4	2
	1	.3.2	NFIP Regulations 44 CFR 60.3 (d) (3)	2
2	Н	lydra	aulic Analysis	9
	2.1	Ν	Methodology	9
	2.2	F	Project Datum	9
	2.3	Т	Topographic Data	9
	2.4	F	Hydrology	9
	2.5	F	Roughness Coefficients	
3	Н	lydra	aulic Results	
4	С	Concl	lusions	
	4.1.1		Coos County Zoning and Land Development Ordinances: Chapter 4	
	4	.1.2	NFIP Regulations 44 CFR 60.3 (d) (3)	
5	S	tate	of Oregon Professional Engineer Certification	
6	R	efer	rences	

List of Figures

Figure 1. Project area location map	3
Figure 2. Project area vicinity map	5
Figure 3. FEMA delineated special flood hazard areas.	7
Figure 4. Hydraulic model cross sections near the project area for flood analysis	13

List of Tables

Table 1. A comparison of existing and proposed flood model results. Table rows with yellow highlightingcorrespond to flood model cross section station numbers within the property of the proposed project.15

Appendices

Appendix A: HEC-RAS standard summary table for existing conditions and proposed conditions hydraulic models

Appendix B: Phase 1 Design Plans Appendix C: Phase 2 Design Plans

Kilgren Water Resources, LLC

1 Introduction

1.1 Background

The Seelander Creek Project is being designed by Kilgren Water Resources (KWR) on behalf of the Coos Watershed Association (CoosWA). The proposed project is located within Coos County, Oregon and adjacent to the Catching Slough (Figure 1 and Figure 2). The entirety of the project area is located on property owned by Scott Elliot, identified by Coos County Tax Lot ID's:

- 26S12W29TL0100000,
- 26S12W29TL0130000, and
- 26S12W29DCTL0020000.

The project area is located within the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) delineated Special Flood Hazard Area (SFHA) Zone A mapped for the Coos River and shown on FEMA Flood Insurance Rate Map (FIRM) Map Number 41011C0345F (FEMA 2018a). The SFHA Zone A is used by FEMA to identify areas likely to be inundated by the 1-percent annual chance flood, as determined by approximate methods, rather than detailed studies, and do not have specified base flood elevations (BFE's) nor designated floodways. The flood mapping from the Digital Flood Insurance Rate Map (DFIRM) database for Coos County (FEMA 2018b) is shown on Figure 3 for the proposed project area.

1.2 Proposed Project

Prior uses of the property, including for agricultural pasture grazing, resulted in degraded wetland functions and habitat quality, and have led to difficulty in maintaining optimal pasturage. The proposed project is focused on voluntary working landscape improvements that combine improved agricultural outcomes with floodplain and wetland restoration actions that benefit native plant communities and wetland conditions to enhance habitat opportunities for populations of juvenile salmonids, among other terrestrial and aquatic wildlife species.

Implementation of the project is proposed in two phases. Phase 1 focuses on replacement of two undersized and failing tide gated culverts with modern fish friendly mitigator style tide gates, decommissioning of an unnecessary culverted agricultural crossing, and the repair of a damaged wooden beam on an agricultural crossing. These replacement structures will improve fish passage to nearly seven miles of critical spawning and rearing habitat for ESA listed coho salmon. Phase 1 design plans are included in Appendix B. Phase 2 focuses on interior drainage improvements and habitat enhancements through ditch realignment and plugging, along with installation of two agricultural crossings. Phase 2 design plans are included in Appendix C.

1.3 Purpose of Analysis

This report documents hydraulic analysis demonstrating the proposed project will maintain the flood carrying capacity of the watercourse, and with no cumulative increase in the associated base flood inundation or base flood levels per Coos County Zoning and Land Development Ordinances Chapter 4 Section 4.11.251(7b) General Standards for other development. This hydraulic analysis evaluated the existing conditions and proposed conditions for the 1-percent annual chance exceedance flood event (i.e., the base flood) conditions documented in the FEMA Flood Insurance Study (FIS) for Coos County, Oregon and Incorporated Areas (FIS

Number 41011CV001C with a revised date of December 7, 2018; FEMA 2018c). The analysis and this report provide documentation and support for compliance with Coos County Zoning and Land Development Ordinances Chapter 4 Section 4.11.251(7b) General Standards for other development, and the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP) regulations governed by Title 44 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) Section 60.3(d)(3). Excerpts of these provisions are provided here for reader reference:

1.3.1 Coos County Zoning and Land Development Ordinances: Chapter 4

• Section 4.11.251 General Standards, 7. Other Development

"b. Result in a cumulative increase of more than one foot during the occurrence of the base flood discharge if the development will occur within a designated flood plain outside of a designated floodway."

1.3.2 NFIP Regulations 44 CFR 60.3 (d) (3)

"prohibit encroachments, including fill, new construction, substantial improvements and other development within the adopted regulatory floodway unless it has been demonstrated through hydrologic and hydraulic analyses performed in accordance with standard engineering practice that the proposed encroachment would not result in any increase in flood levels within the community during the occurrence of the base (100-year) flood discharge."

Coos Watershed Association Seelander Creek Project: Floodplain Analysis



Figure 1. Project area location map.

October 17, 2023

Coos Watershed Association Seelander Creek Project: Floodplain Analysis



Figure 2. Project area vicinity map.

Coos Watershed Association Seelander Creek Project: Floodplain Analysis



Figure 3. FEMA delineated special flood hazard areas.

2 Hydraulic Analysis

2.1 Methodology

Hydraulic modeling analysis following FEMA guidance (FEMA 2013 and 2021b) using the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) Hydrologic Engineering Center's River Analysis System (HEC-RAS) computer program, Version 6.3 (USACE 2022), was conducted to evaluate potential floodplain effects for the proposed project. Since the FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area delineated at the proposed project is designated as Zone A, no effective FIS HEC-RAS model is available and FEMA's floodplain area is derived by approximate methods only. For the purposes of evaluating the potential effects of the proposed project on the existing floodplain conditions, a comparative hydraulic model analysis was prepared. A total of 41 cross sections were developed for the analysis, 14 of which transect the property of the proposed project (Figure 4). These cross-section locations were used for both the existing conditions and proposed conditions modeling.

2.2 Project Datum

The effective study (FEMA 2018c) uses elevations that are relative to NAVD88 with units of feet. The analyses presented in relationship to the proposed project utilizes this same (i.e., NAVD88) datum for consistency.

2.3 Topographic Data

Topographic survey data have been collected at the project area and combined with LiDAR terrain datasets for the development of the proposed designs. These datasets are used for the analysis presented in this report, and include:

- Coos River bathymetry available collected by the University of Oregon (Conroy et al. 2020);
- LiDAR based bare earth elevation digital terrain model (DTM) development from the Oregon Department of Geology and Mineral Industries (DOGAMI 2009); and,
- Topographic survey data collected by CoosWA staff in 2021, 2022, and 2023.

The LiDAR data was extracted from the DOGAMI DTM (2009) for the project area and evaluated for area specific error adjustment using the CoosWA collected survey. The error adjusted LiDAR (commonly referred to as ground truthed LiDAR) was then blended with the CoosWA collected survey and survey from University of Oregon (Conroy et al. 2020) to develop an existing conditions surface representation of the project area and for development of the proposed design surface and hydraulic model analyses. The Design Plans for Phase 1 and Phase 2 are included as Appendix B and C of this report, and show contours for both the existing and proposed surfaces. Hydraulic model cross sections for the refined model were developed using these existing conditions and proposed conditions surfaces.

2.4 Hydrology

The hydrologic input for the flood modeling performed as part of the current analyses was obtained from regional regression equations (USGS 2023). The Catching Slough 1-percent annual chance exceedance flood (commonly referred to as the 100-year flood) is provided as 1,960 cubic feet per second (USGS 2023) and was used as the upstream boundary condition for the current analysis. The downstream boundary condition for the

current analysis was assigned a normal depth slope of 0.001, which was approximated from the stream profile from the existing conditions surface.

2.5 Roughness Coefficients

Manning's coefficients were used to represent the roughness characteristics associated with the river channel and overbank areas. These roughness coefficients were derived from USACE (2022) recommended values corresponding to land cover types. Land cover types were determined for the modeled area from the National Land Cover Database 2019 (Dewitz 2021). The values generally ranged from 0.03 – 0.1 for the channel and overbank for the studied reach.

3 Hydraulic Results

A comparison of existing and proposed water surface elevations at cross sections within the extent of study is included in Table 1. The results show that the proposed conditions <u>do not</u> cause a cumulative increase the water surface elevation for the modeled 1-percent annual chance exceedance flood above the one-foot allowance per Coos County Zoning and Land Development Ordinances Chapter 4 Section 4.11.251(7b) General Standards for other development. The proposed conditions meet the Coos County General Standards for other development and will not impact the natural flood carrying capacity. The standard summary table for the existing and proposed conditions hydraulic modeling is provided in Appendix A.

4 Conclusions

The proposed actions for the Seelander Creek Project seek to restore degraded wetland functions and habitat quality and improve agricultural use conditions. The proposed project was evaluated using a hydraulic analysis for potential impacts on flooding. The results of this analysis demonstrate compliance with the requirements of the regulations referenced in Section 1.3 of this report and as summarized here:

4.1.1 Coos County Zoning and Land Development Ordinances: Chapter 4

• Section 4.11.251 General Standards, 7. Other Development

The proposed actions are located within the SFHA Zone A, only, and do not have specified BFE's or a designated floodway, as shown on FEMA FIRM Map Number 41011C0345F (FEMA 2018a). The SFHA Zone A extent in the vicinity of the proposed project are depicted on Figure 3 and in Appendix B and C of this report.

Pursuant to subpart b, proposed project during the base flood discharge has no cumulative effect on the flood levels.

4.1.2 NFIP Regulations 44 CFR 60.3 (d) (3)

The proposed actions are located within designated SFHA Zone A areas, only, and <u>do not have specified BFE's</u> <u>or a floodway</u>. These extents are shown on the FEMA FIRM Map Number 41011C0345F (FEMA 2018a).

Coos Watershed Association Seelander Creek Project: Floodplain Analysis



Figure 4. Hydraulic model cross sections near the project area for flood analysis.

Table 1. A comparison of existing and proposed flood model results. Table rows with yellow highlightingcorrespond to flood model cross section station numbers within the property of the proposed project.

	Water surface Existing	Change in water surface elevation (proposed conditions minus existing conditions)	
Flood model cross section station number	conditions	conditions	[Feet]
14731	13.08	13.08	0.00
14183	11.52	11.53	0.01
13407	10.99	11.00	0.01
12659	10.92	10.94	0.02
12578	10.92	10.93	0.01
<mark>12370</mark>	<mark>10.90</mark>	<mark>10.92</mark>	<mark>0.02</mark>
<mark>12202</mark>	<mark>10.89</mark>	<mark>10.91</mark>	<mark>0.02</mark>
<mark>12098</mark>	<mark>10.88</mark>	<mark>10.90</mark>	<mark>0.02</mark>
<mark>11959</mark>	<mark>10.88</mark>	<mark>10.89</mark>	<mark>0.01</mark>
<mark>11839</mark>	<mark>10.87</mark>	<mark>10.89</mark>	<mark>0.02</mark>
<mark>11753</mark>	<mark>10.87</mark>	<mark>10.89</mark>	<mark>0.02</mark>
<mark>11689</mark>	<mark>10.87</mark>	<mark>10.89</mark>	<mark>0.02</mark>
<mark>11528</mark>	<mark>10.87</mark>	<mark>10.89</mark>	<mark>0.02</mark>
<mark>11360</mark>	<mark>10.86</mark>	<mark>10.88</mark>	<mark>0.02</mark>
<mark>11230</mark>	<mark>10.85</mark>	<mark>10.86</mark>	<mark>0.01</mark>
<mark>10948</mark>	<mark>10.75</mark>	<mark>10.75</mark>	<mark>0.00</mark>
<mark>10663</mark>	<mark>10.69</mark>	<mark>10.69</mark>	<mark>0.00</mark>
<mark>10491</mark>	<mark>10.68</mark>	<mark>10.68</mark>	<mark>0.00</mark>
<mark>10314</mark>	<mark>10.68</mark>	<mark>10.68</mark>	<mark>0.00</mark>
10221	10.67	10.67	0.00
9857	10.64	10.64	0.00
9343	10.57	10.57	0.00
8834	10.46	10.46	0.00

5 State of Oregon Professional Engineer Certification

I <u>Ryan W. Kilgren</u> am a qualified civil engineer licensed to practice in the State of Oregon. I certify that the engineering analyses provided in this memorandum indicate compliance with the required regulations:

- Coos County Zoning and Land Development Ordinances Chapter 4 Section 4.11.251(7b) General Standards for other development; and,
- NFIP regulations governed by Title 44 of the CFR, Section 60.3(d)(3).

mt Hlyen

Signature

October 17, 2023

Date

Civil & Water Resources Engineer

Title

83634PE

License No.



6 References

Conroy, T., D. A. Sutherland, and D. K. Ralston. 2020. Estuarine Exchange Flow Variability in a Seasonal, Segmented Estuary. Journal of Physical Oceanography. Volume 50(3). March 2020.

Coos Watershed Association (CoosWA). 2021, 2022, and 2023. Topographic Survey at the Seelander Creek Project Area. Performed by CoosWA staff with direction and review assistance from Kilgren Water Resources, LLC.

Dewitz, J., and U.S. Geological Survey. 2021. National Land Cover Database (NLCD) 2019 Products (ver. 2.0, June 2021): U.S. Geological Survey data release, https://doi.org/10.5066/P9KZCM54.

Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA). 2013. Procedures for "No-Rise" Certification For Proposed Developments in the Regulatory Floodway. US Department of Homeland Security Region X. October 2013.

FEMA. 2018a. Flood Insurance Rate Map (FIRM) Map Number 41011C0345F. Revised Date: December 7, 2018.

FEMA. 2018b. Digital Flood Insurance Rate Map (DFIRM) database for Coos County, Oregon and Incorporated Areas. Database Revision Date December 7, 2018.

FEMA. 2018c. Flood Insurance Study (FIS), Coos County, Oregon and Incorporated Areas. Flood Insurance Study Number 41011CV001C. Revised Date: December 7, 2018.

FEMA. 2021b. Guidance for Flood Risk Analysis and Mapping; Floodway Analysis and Mapping, Guidance Document No. 79. November 2021.

Oregon Department of Geology and Mineral Industries (DOGAMI). 2009. LiDAR Remote Sensing Data Collection; Department of Geology and Mineral Industries; South Coast, Oregon. Submitted by Watershed Sciences. May 8, 2009.

United States Army Corps of Engineers (USACE). 2022. HEC-RAS Analysis System: Hydraulic Reference Manual. Version 6.3. Available online at: http://www.hec.usace.army.mil/software/hec-ras

United States Geological Survey (USGS). 2023. StreamStats program for Oregon. Available online at: https://streamstats.usgs.gov/ss/



HEC-RAS STANDARD SUMMARY TABLE FOR EXISTING CONDITIONS AND PROPOSED CONDITIONS HYDRAULIC MODELS

Reach	River Sta	Profile	Plan	Q Total	Min Ch El	W.S. Elev	Crit W.S.	E.G. Elev	E.G. Slope	Vel Chnl	Flow Area	Top Width	Froude # Chl
				(cfs)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft/ft)	(ft/s)	(sq ft)	(ft)	
Seelander	18056	1-PCT AEP	Existing Conditions	1960	28.91	32.29	31.39	32.43	0.006124	2.36	663.66	359.6	0.35
Seelander	18056	1-PCT AEP	Proposed Conditions	1960	28.91	32.29	31.39	32.43	0.006124	2.36	663.66	359.6	0.35
Seelander	17765	1-PCT AEP	Existing Conditions	1960	28.53	28.9		29.1	0.013626	0.71	550.9	439.7	0.24
Seelander	17765	1-PCT AEP	Proposed Conditions	1960	28.53	28.9		29.1	0.013626	0.71	550.9	439.7	0.24
Seelander	17387	1-PCT AEP	Existing Conditions	1960	26	26.27		26.5	0.005232	0.29	511.77	436.04	0.14
Seelander	17387	1-PCT AEP	Proposed Conditions	1960	26	26.27		26.5	0.005232	0.29	511.77	436.04	0.14
Seelander	17271	1-PCT AEP	Existing Conditions	1960	24.24	25.43	24.97	25.58	0.02438	5.83	654.94	549.36	1.07
Seelander	17271	1-PCT AEP	Proposed Conditions	1960	24.24	25.43	24.97	25.58	0.02438	5.83	654.94	549.36	1.07
Seelander	16880	1-PCT AEP	Existing Conditions	1960	21.38	24.19		24.2	0.001521	0.67	1880.21	782.03	0.1
Seelander	16880	1-PCT AEP	Proposed Conditions	1960	21.38	24.19		24.2	0.001521	0.67	1880.21	782.03	0.1
Seelander	16254	1-PCT AEP	Existing Conditions	1960	17.34	22.57	21.78	22.72	0.019336	3.8	630.29	367.79	0.39
Seelander	16254	1-PCT AEP	Proposed Conditions	1960	17.34	22.57	21.78	22.72	0.019336	3.8	630.29	367.79	0.39

Reach	River Sta	Profile	Plan	Q Total	Min Ch Fl	W.S. Flev	Crit W.S.	E.G. Flev	E.G. Slope	Vel Chnl	Flow Area	Top Width	Froude # Chl
	010			(cfs)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft/ft)	(ft/s)	(sq ft)	(ft)	
Seelander	15751	1-PCT AEP	Existing Conditions	1960	14	16.46		16.57	0.009094	5.62	822.79	569.48	0.73
Seelander	15751	1-PCT AEP	Proposed Conditions	1960	14	16.46		16.57	0.009094	5.62	822.79	569.48	0.73
Seelander	15695	1-PCT AEP	Existing Conditions	1960	13.19	15.89		15.98	0.006124	4.06	863.83	773.09	0.62
Seelander	15695	1-PCT AEP	Proposed Conditions	1960	13.19	15.89		15.98	0.006124	4.06	863.83	773.09	0.62
Seelander	15630	1-PCT AEP	Existing Conditions	1960	13	15.04		15.06	0.001938	1.78	1749.03	843.8	0.3
Seelander	15630	1-PCT AEP	Proposed Conditions	1960	13	15.04		15.06	0.001938	1.78	1749.01	843.8	0.3
Seelander	14731	1-PCT AEP	Existing Conditions	1960	10.12	13.08		13.11	0.002967	0.97	1464.44	905.7	0.14
Seelander	14731	1-PCT AEP	Proposed Conditions	1960	10.12	13.08		13.11	0.002971	0.97	1463.82	905.67	0.14
Seelander	14183	1-PCT AEP	Existing Conditions	1960	8.44	11.52		11.55	0.003995	1.08	1453.28	936.42	0.17
Seelander	14183	1-PCT AEP	Proposed Conditions	1960	8.44	11.53		11.56	0.003942	1.07	1459.64	936.45	0.16
Seelander	13407	1-PCT AEP	Existing Conditions	1960	7.03	10.99		11	0.000297	0.4	3173.73	1199.6 6	0.05
Seelander	13407	1-PCT AEP	Proposed Conditions	1960	7.03	11		11.01	0.000292	0.4	3192.46	1202.8 8	0.05

Reach	River	Profile	Plan	Q Total	Min Ch	W.S.	Crit	E.G.	E.G.	Vel	Flow	Тор	Froude
	Sta			(cfc)	EI (f+)	LIEV	W.S.	LIEV	Slope	Cnni (ft/c)	Area	(f+)	# Chi
Seelander	12659	1-PCT	Existing	1960	3.2	10.92	(11)	10.93	0.000047	0.89	4044.37	1818.3	0.07
		AEP	Conditions									8	
Seelander	12659	1-PCT AEP	Proposed Conditions	1960	3.2	10.94		10.95	0.000046	0.89	4075.08	1824.6 2	0.07
Seelander	12578	1-PCT AEP	Existing Conditions	1960	1.97	10.92		10.92	0.000148	1.09	3292.8	1204.5 7	0.11
Seelander	12578	1-PCT AEP	Proposed Conditions	1960	1.97	10.93		10.94	0.000146	1.08	3313.34	1210.3 2	0.1
Seelander	12370	1-PCT AEP	Existing Conditions	1960	1.59	10.9		10.9	0.000065	0.24	4538.96	1145.2	0.02
Seelander	12370	1-PCT AEP	Proposed Conditions	1960	1.59	10.92		10.92	0.000065	0.24	4558.7	1146.4 2	0.02
Seelander	12202	1-PCT AEP	Existing Conditions	1960	1.28	10.89		10.89	0.000073	0.29	5533.95	1266.2 3	0.03
Seelander	12202	1-PCT AEP	Proposed Conditions	1960	1.28	10.91		10.91	0.000072	0.29	5555.96	1266.3 6	0.03
Seelander	12098	1-PCT AEP	Existing Conditions	1960	1.09	10.88		10.88	0.000049	0.21	6495.16	1347.7 9	0.02
Seelander	12098	1-PCT AEP	Proposed Conditions	1960	1.09	10.9		10.9	0.000049	0.21	6518.72	1349.8 8	0.02
Seelander	11959	1-PCT AEP	Existing Conditions	1960	0.78	10.88		10.88	0.000024	0.14	8766.64	1604.0 1	0.01
Seelander	11959	1-PCT AEP	Proposed Conditions	1960	0.78	10.89		10.9	0.000023	0.14	8794.75	1604.1 3	0.01

Reach	River	Profile	Plan	O Total	Min Ch	W.S.	Crit	E.G.	E.G.	Vel	Flow	Тор	Froude
	Sta				El	Elev	W.S.	Elev	Slope	Chnl	Area	Width	# Chl
				(cfs)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft/ft)	(ft/s)	(sq ft)	(ft)	
		1-PCT	Existing									1854.3	
Seelander	11839	AEP	Conditions	1960	0.5	10.87		10.88	0.000015	0.14	10381.81	6	0.01
		1-PCT	Proposed									1854.9	
Seelander	11839	AEP	Conditions	1960	0	10.89		10.89	0.000014	0.14	10481.75	9	0.01
		1-PCT	Existing									1829.4	
Seelander	11753	AEP	Conditions	1960	0.26	10.87		10.87	0.000013	0.12	10653.84	1	0.01
		1-PCT	Proposed									1829.4	
Seelander	11753	AEP	Conditions	1960	0.26	10.89		10.89	0.000013	0.12	10680.29	4	0.01
		1-PCT	Existing									1729.2	
Seelander	11689	AEP	Conditions	1960	0.09	10.87		10.87	0.000017	0.14	9802.15	9	0.01
		1-PCT	Proposed										
Seelander	11689	AEP	Conditions	1960	0.09	10.89		10.89	0.000017	0.14	9827.99	1729.4	0.01
		1-PCT	Existing									1474.8	
Seelander	11528	AEP	Conditions	1960	-0.09	10.87		10.87	0.000024	0.16	8507.66	6	0.01
		1-PCT	Proposed									1474.9	
Seelander	11528	AEP	Conditions	1960	-0.09	10.89		10.89	0.000023	0.16	8533.66	9	0.01
		1-PCT	Existing									1226.6	
Seelander	11360	AEP	Conditions	1960	-0.25	10.86		10.86	0.000036	0.21	6835.69	4	0.02
		1-PCT	Proposed									1226.7	
Seelander	11360	AEP	Conditions	1960	-0.25	10.88		10.88	0.000035	0.21	6857.37	3	0.02
		1-PCT	Existing										
Seelander	11230	AEP	Conditions	1960	-0.41	10.85		10.85	0.000201	0.52	3016.55	597.36	0.04
		1-PCT	Proposed										
Seelander	11230	AEP	Conditions	1960	-0.41	10.86		10.87	0.000278	0.61	2738.93	597.59	0.05

Reach	River	Profile	Plan	O Total	Min Ch	W.S.	Crit	E.G.	E.G.	Vel	Flow	Тор	Froude
	Sta				El	Elev	W.S.	Elev	Slope	Chnl	Area	Width	# Chl
				(cfs)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft/ft)	(ft/s)	(sq ft)	(ft)	
		1-PCT	Existing										
Seelander	10948	AEP	Conditions	1960	-0.66	10.75		10.77	0.000354	0.67	1951.74	433.89	0.06
		1-PCT	Proposed										
Seelander	10948	AEP	Conditions	1960	-0.66	10.75		10.77	0.000354	0.68	1951.44	433.88	0.06
		1-PCT	Existing										
Seelander	10663	AEP	Conditions	1960	-0.72	10.69		10.7	0.000188	0.5	3497.85	745.42	0.04
		1-PCT	Proposed										
Seelander	10663	AEP	Conditions	1960	-0.72	10.69		10.7	0.000188	0.5	3497.31	745.41	0.04
		1-PCT	Existing									1790.8	
Seelander	10491	AEP	Conditions	1960	-0.72	10.68		10.68	0.000042	0.24	6820.02	5	0.02
		1-PCT	Proposed									1790.8	
Seelander	10491	AEP	Conditions	1960	-0.72	10.68		10.68	0.000042	0.24	6818.71	3	0.02
		1-PCT	Existing									1904.5	
Seelander	10314	AEP	Conditions	1960	-0.72	10.68		10.68	0.000012	0.21	7139.41	7	0.02
		1-PCT	Proposed									1953.5	
Seelander	10314	AEP	Conditions	1960	-0.72	10.68		10.68	0.00001	0.21	7765.49	5	0.01
		1-PCT	Existing										
Seelander	10221	AEP	Conditions	1960	-0.72	10.67		10.67	0.000094	0.82	2652.9	672.27	0.06
		1-PCT	Proposed										
Seelander	10221	AEP	Conditions	1960	-0.72	10.67		10.67	0.000094	0.82	2652.9	672.27	0.06
		1-PCT	Existing										
Seelander	9857	AEP	Conditions	1960	-0.72	10.64		10.64	0.000065	0.82	2733.66	618.93	0.06
		1-PCT	Proposed										
Seelander	9857	AEP	Conditions	1960	-0.72	10.64		10.64	0.000065	0.82	2733.66	618.93	0.06

Reach	River	Profile	Plan	Q Total	Min Ch	W.S.	Crit W S	E.G.	E.G.	Vel Chol	Flow	Top Width	Froude
	Jla			(cfs)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft/ft)	(ft/s)	(sq ft)	(ft)	# CIII
Seelander	9343	1-PCT AEP	Existing Conditions	1960	-0.72	10.57		10.58	0.000332	0.88	2093.83	618.6	0.07
Seelander	9343	1-PCT AEP	Proposed Conditions	1960	-0.72	10.57		10.58	0.000332	0.88	2093.83	618.6	0.07
Seelander	8834	1-PCT AEP	Existing Conditions	1960	-0.72	10.46		10.5	0.000102	1.74	2099.25	540.63	0.11
Seelander	8834	1-PCT AEP	Proposed Conditions	1960	-0.72	10.46		10.5	0.000102	1.74	2099.25	540.63	0.11
Seelander	7975	1-PCT AEP	Existing Conditions	1960	-0.72	10.37		10.38	0.000169	0.77	2556.28	557.44	0.05
Seelander	7975	1-PCT AEP	Proposed Conditions	1960	-0.72	10.37		10.38	0.000169	0.77	2556.28	557.44	0.05
Seelander	7350	1-PCT AEP	Existing Conditions	1960	-0.72	10.24		10.25	0.000269	0.77	2800.2	755.3	0.05
Seelander	7350	1-PCT AEP	Proposed Conditions	1960	-0.72	10.24		10.25	0.000269	0.77	2800.2	755.3	0.05
Seelander	6704	1-PCT AEP	Existing Conditions	1960	-0.72	10.22		10.22	0.000024	0.72	6185.54	911.79	0.05
Seelander	6704	1-PCT AEP	Proposed Conditions	1960	-0.72	10.22		10.22	0.000024	0.72	6185.54	911.79	0.05
Seelander	5518	1-PCT AEP	Existing Conditions	1960	-0.72	10.13		10.15	0.001087	1.56	1743.02	824.31	0.1
Seelander	5518	1-PCT AEP	Proposed Conditions	1960	-0.72	10.13		10.15	0.001087	1.56	1743.02	824.31	0.1
HEC-RAS standard summary table for existing conditions and proposed conditions hydraulic models (Continued).

Reach	River Sta	Profile	Plan	Q Total	Min Ch El	W.S. Elev	Crit W.S.	E.G. Elev	E.G. Slope	Vel Chnl	Flow Area	Top Width	Froude # Chl
-				(cfs)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft/ft)	(ft/s)	(sq ft)	(ft)	
Seelander	4461	1-PCT AEP	Existing Conditions	1960	-0.72	9.86		9.86	0.000195	0.67	3348.91	766.77	0.05
Seelander	4461	1-PCT AEP	Proposed Conditions	1960	-0.72	9.86		9.86	0.000195	0.67	3348.91	766.77	0.05
Seelander	3305	1-PCT AEP	Existing Conditions	1960	-0.72	9.5		9.52	0.000621	1.28	2041.42	542.91	0.08
Seelander	3305	1-PCT AEP	Proposed Conditions	1960	-0.72	9.5		9.52	0.000621	1.28	2041.42	542.91	0.08
Seelander	2834	1-PCT AEP	Existing Conditions	1960	-0.72	9.33		9.34	0.000227	0.91	2678.13	702.61	0.05
Seelander	2834	1-PCT AEP	Proposed Conditions	1960	-0.72	9.33		9.34	0.000227	0.91	2678.13	702.61	0.05
Seelander	2106	1-PCT AEP	Existing Conditions	1960	-0.72	9.02		9.06	0.000821	1.66	1292.88	368.23	0.12
Seelander	2106	1-PCT AEP	Proposed Conditions	1960	-0.72	9.02		9.06	0.000821	1.66	1292.88	368.23	0.12
Seelander	1578	1-PCT AEP	Existing Conditions	1960	-0.88	8.54	1.22	8.58	0.001001	1.6	1242.1	236.16	0.11
Seelander	1578	1-PCT AEP	Proposed Conditions	1960	-0.88	8.54	1.22	8.58	0.001001	1.6	1242.1	236.16	0.11

Page Intentionally Left Blank



PHASE 1 DESIGN PLANS

Page Intentionally Left Blank



GENERAL NOTES:

- 1. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL COMPLY WITH ALL APPLICABLE FEDERAL, STATE, AND LOCAL LAWS AND REGULATIONS, INCLUDING REQUIREMENTS SET FORTH IN THE PERMITS OBTAINED FOR THIS PROJECT. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL MAINTAIN A COPY OF ALL PERMITS ONSITE DURING CONSTRUCTION.
- 2. THE CONTRACTOR IS RESPONSIBLE FOR JOB SITE CONDITIONS AND THE SAFETY OF HUMAN LIFE DURING THE COURSE OF CONSTRUCTION. THIS REQUIREMENT SHALL APPLY CONTINUOUSLY DURING THE PERIOD OF CONSTRUCTION AND NOT BE LIMITED TO NORMAL WORKING HOURS.
- 3. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL KEEP THE JOB SITE AREA CLEAN AND HAZARD-FREE, AND SHALL DISPOSE OF ALL DEBRIS, RUBBISH, AND CONSTRUCTION WASTE. UNLESS OTHERWISE DIRECTED BY THE CAR, ALL DISTURBED AREAS SHALL BE REHABILITATED TO A SMOOTH GRADE MATCHED TO UNDISTURBED ADJACENT GRADES SO AS TO FACILITATE POST-CONSTRUCTION SHEET FLOW RUNOFF AND PREVENT CONCENTRATED OR IMPEDED RUNOFF FLOWS.
- 4. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL PROTECT THE STAGING AREA SO THAT EQUIPMENT AND MATERIALS DO NOT DAMAGE ADJACENT VEGETATED AREAS OR WATERWAYS.
- 5. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL VISIT THE JOB SITE WITH THE CAR AND CONFIRM THAT THE WORK MAY BE ACCOMPLISHED AS SHOWN PRIOR TO PROCEEDING WITH CONSTRUCTION.
- 6. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL INSTALL ALL EQUIPMENT AND MATERIALS IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE MANUFACTURER'S RECOMMENDATIONS UNLESS SPECIFICALLY INDICATED OTHERWISE.

SURVEY CONTROL AND CONSTRUCTION LIMITS NOTES:

1. EXISTING GROUND ELEVATION AND SURVEY CONTROL POINT DATA IS FROM COOSWA SURVEYS IN 2021 AND 2022.

2. PROJECTED COORDINATE SYSTEM

HORIZONTAL: NAD83 OREGON STATE PLANE (POLYCONIC), SOUTH ZONE, WITH UNITS OF US SURVEY FEET (ESRI:102727)

VERTICAL: NAVD88 WITH UNITS OF FEET

- 3. ALL STATIONING REFERS TO THE CENTERLINE OF CONSTRUCTION, OR AS SHOWN, AND IS THE MEASURED HORIZONTAL DISTANCE.
- 4. CONSTRUCTION LIMITS, CENTERLINE, AND OFFSET STAKING TO BE PERFORMED BY THE CONTRACTOR, UNLESS OTHERWISE DIRECTED BY CAR.
- 5. ALL CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITY, INCLUDING STAGING AND STOCKPILING, SHALL BE CONFINED TO THE LIMITS OF THE GRADING, TEMPORARY CONSTRUCTION ACCESS, AND TEMPORARY CONSTRUCTION STAGING AREAS SHOWN IN THESE PLANS. CONTRACTOR IS RESPONSIBLE FOR ESTABLISHING AND MAINTAINING REQUIRED DATUM, BENCHMARKS, CONTROL LINES AND LEVELS. DO NOT DISTURB, EXCAVATE, OR WORK BEYOND THE CONSTRUCTION LIMITS WITHOUT APPROVAL FROM CAR.
- 6. UTILITY LOCATIONS SHOWN ARE APPROXIMATE AND IN SOME CASES HAVE NOT BEEN SURVEYED. CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR CONTACTING OREGON UTILITY NOTIFICATION CENTER AT 800.332.2344 72-HOURS PRIOR TO COMMENCEMENT OF CONSTRUCTION WORK. CONTRACTOR IS RESPONSIBLE FOR MAINTAINING MARKINGS.

SURVEY CONTROL POINT TABLE						
POINT #	NORTHING	EASTING	ELEV	DESCRIPTION		
634	610247.831	3950402.933	14.138	CP2		
635	612233.150	3950313.432	13.442	CP1		

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION NOTES:

- 1. IF THE CONTRACTOR ENCOUNTERS POTENTIALLY HAZARDOUS MATERIALS, THE CONTRACTOR SHALL IMMEDIATELY NOTIFY THE CAR. ALL CONSTRUCTION SPOILS AND WASTE MATERIALS THAT CONTACT OR CONTAIN HAZARDOUS MATERIALS SHALL BE DISPOSED OF AT AN APPROVED LANDFILL FACILITY.
- 2. EXISTING ON-SITE MATERIALS SHALL BE CAREFULLY REMOVED AND STORED OR DISPOSED OF. COMPLETELY REMOVE STUMPS, ROOTS, WILLOWS, SHRUBS, WEEDS, AND OTHER DEBRIS PROTRUDING FROM THE GROUND WITHIN THE LIMITS OF GRADING AND AS DIRECTED BY THE CAR.
- 3. TREES AND LAND AREAS OUTSIDE THE CONSTRUCTION LIMITS SHALL BE PROTECTED FROM DAMAGE. EXERCISE CARE TO AVOID DAMAGE TO NATURAL VEGETATION. ANY TREE TRIMMING OR REMOVAL, INCLUDING WITHIN THE GRADING LIMITS, SHALL BE PRE-APPROVED BY CAR.

TEMPORARY CONSTRUCTION FACILITIES NOTES:

 ALL TEMPORARY UTILITIES AND FACILITIES WILL BE THE RESPONSIBILITY OF THE CONTRACTOR. A CONSTRUCTION TRAILER IS NOT REQUIRED. ANY USE OF POTABLE WATER OR ELECTRICITY FROM EXISTING CONNECTIONS ON-SITE MUST BE COORDINATED WITH THE CAR FOR LANDOWNER WRITTEN AGREEMENT PRIOR TO USE. A CHEMICAL TOILET OF SUITABLE TYPE SHALL BE PROVIDED AND MAINTAINED BY THE CONTRACTOR AT ALL TIMES.

ACW	ACTIVE CHANNEL WIDTH	Ν	NORTH, NORTHING
AG	AGRICULTURAL	NAD83	NORTH AMERICAN DATUM OF 1983
ALIGN	ALIGNMENT	NAVD88	NORTH AMERICAN VERTICAL DATUM OF 1988
APPROX	APPROXIMATELY	NMFS	NATIONAL MARINE FISHERIES SERVICE, NOAA
BMP	BEST MANAGEMENT PRACTICE(S)		FISHERIES
CAR	CONTRACTING AGENCY REPRESENTATIVE.	NO. #	NUMBER
	COOS WATERSHED ASSOCIATION (COOSWA)	NOAA	NATIONAL OCEANIC AND ATMOSPHERIC
CES	CUBIC FEET PER SECOND		ADMINISTRATION
CL	CENTERLINE	NOM	NOMINAL
COOSWA	COOS WATERSHED ASSOCIATION	NSG4MA	NEHALEM MARINE SIDE HINGED GATE FOR 4
CUYD CY	CUBIC YARD		FOOT DIAMETER CUI VERT PIPE WITH A
DBH	DIAMETER AT BREAST HEIGHT		MITIGATOR AND AUXILIARY DOOR
DEPT	DEPARTMENT	NTS	NOT TO SCALE
DEO	OREGON DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL	ODOT	OREGON DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
	QUALITY	ODEW	OREGON DEPARTMENT OF FISH AND WILDLIFF
DET	DETAIL	OR	OREGON
DIA.Ø	DIAMETER	OSHA	OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY AND HEALTH
DS	DOWNSTREAM		ADMINISTRATION
DWG	DRAWING	PT	POINT
E	NORTH, EASTING	SHT	SHEET
EA	EACH	SPEC	SPECIFICATION(S)
EG	EXISTING GROUND	SQ	SQUARE
EL, ELEV	ELEVATION	STD	STANDARD
ESCP	EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL PLAN	STA	STATION
EX, EXIST	EXISTING	SY, SQYD	SQUARE YARD
FG	FINISHED GROUND	TB	TURBIDITY BARRIER
FT, '	FOOT OR FEET	TBD	TO BE DEVELOPED, TO BE DETERMINED
GPM	GALLONS PER MINUTE	TEMP	TEMPORARY
HORZ	HORIZONTAL	TN	TON
IN, "	INCH	TYP	TYPICAL
IE	INVERT ELEVATION	US	UNITED STATES, UPSTREAM
LF	LINEAR FEET	USGS	UNITED STATES GEOLOGICAL SURVEY
LTR	LETTER	VERT	VERTICAL
MAX	MAXIMUM	W	WITH
MHW	MEAN HIGH WATER	W/O	WITHOUT
MIN	MINIMUM	•	DEGREE
MM	MILLIMETER	@	AT
		%	PERCENT

SYMBOLS:

\wedge	#/LTR OF SE
5	SHT # WHER
	EXISTING
OR CONTOUR	
OR CONTOUR	

	EXISTING
MAJOR CONTOUR	
MINOR CONTOUR	
PROPERTY LINE	
MHW	
CULVERT	
OVERHEAD ELECTR	ic — c
ROADWAY	
WATERWAY	
WETLAND AREA FRO	DM

FILE: c:\Users\ryankilgren\kilgren wa	DATE	DESCRIPTION	BY	KILGREN WATER RESOURCES 21 EAST 28TH AVENUE: SUITE 4 EUGENE, OR 97405 PHONE: 971-409-4023	COOS BAY, OR 97420 PHONE: 541-888-5922	PROJECT NO. 1.2022.0001.1 DESIGNED BY RWK DRAWN BY S	SEELANDER C GENERAL NOTES		

IF ELECTRONIC SIGNATURE IS BROKEN OR MISSING - THIS IS NOT A LEGAL DRAWING

ABBREVIATIONS AND NOTATIONS:

CTION/DETAIL ON SHT SHOWN RE SECTION/DETAIL SHOWN



GENERAL EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL PLAN (ESCP) NOTES:

- EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL PLAN (ESCP) ELEMENTS AND SEQUENCED WORK AREAS SHOWN IN THESE PLANS ARE A SCHEMATIC REPRESENTATION OF ONE POSSIBLE OPTION ONLY, CONTRACTOR MAY PROPOSE AN ALTERNATIVE APPROACH. CONTRACTOR TO SUBMIT ESCP FOR APPROVAL BY CAR 5 DAYS (MIN) PRIOR TO COMMENCING WORK. CONTRACTOR SUBMITTED ESCP SHALL INCORPORATE ALL BMP MEASURES PER PROJECT PERMIT REQUIREMENTS. CONTRACTOR TO COORDINATE WITH CAR REGARDING PERMIT REQUIREMENTS.
- 2. CONTRACTOR TO VERIFY SITE CONDITIONS PRIOR TO DEVELOPMENT OF ESCP.
- 3. CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE AT ALL TIMES FOR PREVENTING SILT-LADEN RUNOFF FROM DISCHARGING FROM THE PROJECT SITE. FAILURE BY THE CONTRACTOR CAN RESULT IN A FINE. THE CONTRACTOR DEVELOPED ESCP SHALL IDENTIFY THE CONTRACTOR'S DESIGNATED CONTACT PERSON. THE DESIGNATED CONTACT PERSON MUST BE AVAILABLE FOR CONTACT BY TELEPHONE ON A 24-HOUR- BASIS THROUGHOUT CONSTRUCTION AND UNTIL THE PROJECT HAS BEEN COMPLETED AND ACCEPTED BY THE CAR.
- ADJACENT PROPERTIES SHALL BE PROTECTED FROM SEDIMENT DEPOSITION BY APPROPRIATE USE OF SEDIMENT BARRIERS OR FILTERS, CHECK DAMS, STRAW BALES, OR BY A COMBINATION OF THESE MEASURES AND OTHER APPROPRIATE BMPS.
- 5. TEMPORARY ESCP BMP'S TO REMAIN IN PLACE UNTIL THE SITE IS STABILIZED AFTER CONSTRUCTION.
- 6. EMERGENCY EROSION CONTROL MATERIALS SHALL BE AVAILABLE AT THE SITE, INCLUDING A SUPPLY OF SEDIMENT CONTROL MATERIALS AND AN OIL-ABSORBING FLOATING BOOM WHENEVER SURFACE WATER OR WETLAND AREAS ARE WITHIN 150 FEET OF ACTIVE AND IDLE MACHINERY.
- 7. CONTRACTOR TO COORDINATE WITH CAR REGARDING PRESENCE OF AQUATIC ORGANISM EXCLUSION AND SALVAGE WITHIN WATERWAYS ADJACENT TO THE WORK AREAS AND FISH EXCLUSION REQUIREMENTS PER CAR AND ODFW BIOLOGIST. CONTRACTOR SHALL NOTIFY CAR 5 DAYS PRIOR TO IMPLEMENTING WORK AREA ISOLATION, INCLUDING WHEN WORKING IN THE WET IF CONTRACTOR PREPARED ESCP ELECTS, AND FISH EXCLUSION MEASURES.
- CONSTRUCTION ACCESS IS ASSUMED TO OCCUR FROM SELANDER ROAD THROUGH PRIVATE FIELD GATES AND ALONG CONTRACTOR ESTABLISHED TEMPORARY CONSTRUCTION ACCESS ROUTES.
- 9. TEMPORARY CONSTRUCTION ACCESS ROUTES SHALL UTILIZE EXISTING DIKE AND FIELD ACCESS ROUTES TO MINIMIZE DISTURBANCE.
- 10. STAGING AREAS SHALL BE KEPT TO THE MINIMAL PRACTICAL EXTENT TO MINIMIZE DISTURBANCE.
- 11. TEMPORARY CONSTRUCTION ACCESS ROUTES AND STAGING AREAS SHALL BE RESTORED PER DIRECTION OF CAR.
- 12. ALL WORK BELOW MHW ON CATCHING SLOUGH AND ITS TRIBUTARIES MUST BE CONDUCTED DURING THE IN-WATER WORK PERIOD, JULY 1 TO SEPTEMBER 15, UNLESS A WRITTEN EXTENSION IS RECEIVED BY THE CAR FROM PERMITTING AGENCIES AS RECOMMENDED BY ODFW AND NMFS.

OREGON DEQ STA	NDARD REQUIRED ESCP INSPECTION TABLE
SITE CONDITION	MINIMUM FREQUENCY
1. ACTIVE PERIOD	ON INITIAL DATE THAT LAND DISTURBANCE ACTIVITIES COMMENCE.
	WITHIN 24 HOURS OF ANY STORM EVENT, INCLUDING RUNOFF FROM SNOW MELT, THAT RESULTS IN DISCHARGE FROM THE SITE.
	AT LEAST ONCE EVERY 14 DAYS, REGARDLESS OF WHETHER STORMWATER RUNOFF IS OCCURRING.
2. INACTIVE PERIODS GREATER THAN FOURTEEN (14) CONSECUTIVE CALENDAR DAYS	THE INSPECTOR MAY REDUCE THE FREQUENCY OF INSPECTIONS IN ANY AREA OF THE SITE WHERE THE STABILIZATION STEPS IN SECTION 2.2.20 HAVE BEEN COMPLETED TO TWICE PER MONTH FOR THE FIRST MONTH, NO LESS THAN 14 CALENDAR DAYS APART, THEN ONCE PER MONTH.
3. PERIODS DURING WHICH THE SITE IS INACCESSIBLE DUE TO INCLEMENT WEATHER	IF SAFE, ACCESSIBLE AND PRACTICAL, INSPECTIONS MUST OCCUR DAILY AT A RELEVANT DISCHARGE POINT OR DOWNSTREAM LOCATION OF THE RECEIVING WATERBODY.
4. PERIODS DURING WHICH CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITIES ARE SUSPENDED AND RUNOFF IS UNLIKELY DUE TO FROZEN CONDITIONS.	VISUAL MONITORING INSPECTIONS MAY BE TEMPORARILY SUSPENDED. IMMEDIATELY RESUME MONITORING UPON THAWING, OR WHEN WEATHER CONDITIONS MAKE DISCHARGES LIKELY.
5. PERIODS DURING WHICH CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITIES ARE CONDUCTED AND RUNOFF IS UNLIKELY DURING FROZEN CONDITIONS.	VISUAL MONITORING INSPECTIONS MAY BE REDUCED TO ONCE A MONTH. IMMEDIATELY RESUME MONITORING UPON THAWING, OR WHEN WEATHER CONDITIONS MAKE DISCHARGES LIKELY.

ODEQ STANDARD ESCP NOTES FROM NARRATIVE PART III SECTION 2 (HTTPS://WWW.OREGON.GOV/DEQ/WQ/DOCUMENTS/ESCPFORMSREV2F.PDF):

AND 2.3)

- 1. ONCE KNOWN, INCLUDE A LIST OF ALL CONTRACTORS THAT WILL ENGAGE IN CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITIES ON SITE, AND THE AREAS OF THE SITE WHERE THE CONTRACTOR(S) WILL ENGAGE IN CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITIES. REVISE THE LIST AS APPROPRIATE UNTIL PERMIT COVERAGE IS TERMINATED (SECTION 4.4.C.I). IN ADDITION, INCLUDE A LIST OF ALL PERSONNEL (BY NAME AND POSITION) THAT ARE RESPONSIBLE FOR THE DESIGN, INSTALLATION AND MAINTENANCE OF STORMWATER CONTROL MEASURES (E.G. ESCP DEVELOPER, BMP INSTALLER (SEE SECTION 4.10), AS WELL AS THEIR INDIVIDUAL RESPONSIBILITIES. (SECTION 4.4.C.II)
- 2. VISUAL MONITORING INSPECTION REPORTS MUST BE MADE IN ACCORDANCE WITH DEQ 1200-C PERMIT REQUIREMENTS. (SECTION 6.5)
- INSPECTION LOGS MUST BE KEPT IN ACCORDANCE WITH DEQ'S 1200-C PERMIT REQUIREMENTS. (SECTION 6.5.Q)
- 4. RETAIN A COPY OF THE ESCP AND ALL REVISIONS ON SITE AND MAKE IT AVAILABLE ON REQUEST TO DEQ, AGENT, OR THE LOCAL MUNICIPALITY. (SECTION 4.7)
- THE PERMIT REGISTRANT MUST IMPLEMENT THE ESCP. FAILURE TO IMPLEMENT ANY OF THE CONTROL MEASURES OR PRACTICES DESCRIBED IN THE ESCP IS A VIOLATION OF THE PERMIT. (SECTIONS 4 AND 4.11)
- 6. THE ESCP MUST BE ACCURATE AND REFLECT SITE CONDITIONS. (SECTION 4.8)
- SUBMISSION OF ALL ESCP REVISIONS IS NOT REQUIRED. SUBMITTAL OF THE ESCP REVISIONS IS ONLY UNDER SPECIFIC CONDITIONS. SUBMIT ALL NECESSARY REVISION TO DEQ OR AGENT WITHIN 10 DAYS. (SECTION 4.9)
- 8. SEQUENCE CLEARING AND GRADING TO THE MAXIMUM EXTENT PRACTICAL TO PREVENT EXPOSED INACTIVE AREAS FROM BECOMING A SOURCE OF EROSION. (SECTION 2.2.2)
- 9. CREATE SMOOTH SURFACES BETWEEN SOIL SURFACE AND EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROLS TO PREVENT STORMWATER FROM BYPASSING CONTROLS AND PONDING. (SECTION 2.2.3)
- 10. IDENTIFY, MARK, AND PROTECT (BY CONSTRUCTION FENCING OR OTHER MEANS) CRITICAL RIPARIAN AREAS AND VEGETATION INCLUDING IMPORTANT TREES AND ASSOCIATED ROOTING ZONES, AND VEGETATION AREAS TO BE PRESERVED. IDENTIFY VEGETATIVE BUFFER ZONES BETWEEN THE SITE AND SENSITIVE AREAS (E.G., WETLANDS), AND OTHER AREAS TO BE PRESERVED, ESPECIALLY IN PERIMETER AREAS. (SECTION 2.2.1)
- 11. PRESERVE EXISTING VEGETATION WHEN PRACTICAL AND RE-VEGETATE OPEN AREAS. RE-VEGETATE OPEN AREAS WHEN PRACTICABLE BEFORE AND AFTER GRADING OR CONSTRUCTION. IDENTIFY THE TYPE OF VEGETATIVE SEED MIX USED. (SECTION 2.2.5)
- 12. MAINTAIN AND DELINEATE ANY EXISTING NATURAL BUFFER WITHIN THE 50-FEET OF WATERS OF THE STATE. (SECTION 2.2.4)
- 13. INSTALL PERIMETER SEDIMENT CONTROL, INCLUDING STORM DRAIN INLET PROTECTION AS WELL AS ALL SEDIMENT BASINS, TRAPS, AND BARRIERS PRIOR TO LAND DISTURBANCE. (SECTIONS 2.1.3)
- 14. CONTROL BOTH PEAK FLOW RATES AND TOTAL STORMWATER VOLUME, TO MINIMIZE EROSION AT OUTLETS AND DOWNSTREAM CHANNELS AND STREAMBANKS. (SECTIONS 2.1.1, AND 2.2.16)
- 15. CONTROL SEDIMENT AS NEEDED ALONG THE SITE PERIMETER AND AT ALL OPERATIONAL INTERNAL STORM DRAIN INLETS AT ALL TIMES DURING CONSTRUCTION, BOTH INTERNALLY AND AT THE SITE BOUNDARY. (SECTIONS 2.2.6 AND 2.2.13)
- 16. ESTABLISH CONCRETE TRUCK AND OTHER CONCRETE EQUIPMENT WASHOUT AREAS BEFORE BEGINNING CONCRETE WORK. (SECTION 2.2.14)
- 17. APPLY TEMPORARY AND/OR PERMANENT SOIL STABILIZATION MEASURES IMMEDIATELY ON ALL DISTURBED AREAS AS GRADING PROGRESSES. TEMPORARY OR PERMANENT STABILIZATIONS MEASURES ARE NOT REQUIRED FOR AREAS THAT ARE INTENDED TO BE LEFT UNVEGETATED, SUCH AS DIRT ACCESS ROADS OR UTILITY POLE PADS.(SECTIONS 2.2.20 AND 2.2.21)
- 18. ESTABLISH MATERIAL AND WASTE STORAGE AREAS, AND OTHER NON-STORMWATER CONTROLS. (SECTION 2.3.7)
- 19. KEEP WASTE CONTAINER LIDS CLOSED WHEN NOT IN USE AND CLOSE LIDS AT THE END OF THE BUSINESS DAY FOR THOSE CONTAINERS THAT ARE ACTIVELY USED THROUGHOUT THE DAY. FOR WASTE CONTAINERS THAT DO NOT HAVE LIDS, PROVIDE EITHER (1) COVER (E.G., A TARP, PLASTIC SHEETING, TEMPORARY ROOF) TO PREVENT EXPOSURE OF WASTES TO PRECIPITATION, OR (2) A SIMILARLY EFFECTIVE MEANS DESIGNED TO PREVENT THE DISCHARGE OF POLLUTANTS (E.G., SECONDARY CONTAINMENT). (SECTION 2.3.7)
- 20. PREVENT TRACKING OF SEDIMENT ONTO PUBLIC OR PRIVATE ROADS USING BMPS SUCH AS: CONSTRUCTION ENTRANCE, GRAVELED (OR PAVED) EXITS AND PARKING AREAS, GRAVEL ALL UNPAVED ROADS LOCATED ONSITE, OR USE AN EXIT TIRE WASH. THESE BMPS MUST BE IN PLACE PRIOR TO LAND-DISTURBING ACTIVITIES. (SECTION 2.2.7)
- 21. WHEN TRUCKING SATURATED SOILS FROM THE SITE, EITHER USE WATER-TIGHT TRUCKS OR DRAIN LOADS ON SITE. (SECTION 2.2.7.F)
- 22. CONTROL PROHIBITED DISCHARGES FROM LEAVING THE CONSTRUCTION SITE, I.E., CONCRETE WASH-OUT, WASTEWATER FROM CLEANOUT OF STUCCO, PAINT AND CURING COMPOUNDS. (SECTIONS 1.5 AND 2.3.9)
- 23. ENSURE THAT STEEP SLOPE AREAS WHERE CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITIES ARE NOT OCCURRING ARE NOT DISTURBED. (SECTION 2.2.10)
- 24. PREVENT SOIL COMPACTION IN AREAS WHERE POST-CONSTRUCTION INFILTRATION FACILITIES ARE TO BE INSTALLED. (SECTION 2.2.12)

NOTES AND INSPECTION TABLE PROVIDED FOR CONTRACTOR CONVENIENCE. SECTIONS REFERENCES ARE FROM ODEQ'S 1200-C CONSTRUCTION STORMWATER GENERAL PERMIT (https://www.oregon.gov/deq/FilterPermitsDocs/1200Cpermit.pdf)

e e									
ew u	NO.	DATE	DESCRIPTION	BY		PROF	~	PROJECT NO.	
kilare							S S	1.2022.0001.1	SEELANDER C
Iren/						Watershed kilgren	*E		
ankilo					21 EAST 28TH AVENUE; SUITE 4	Association Apart Heason: Iam the DIGITALLY SIG	NED	DESIGNED BY	EROSION AND
rs/rv					EUGENE, OR 97405 PHONE: 971-409-4023		?]£]	RWK	
\Use						P.O. BOX 388	9	DRAWN BY	
ы Ц						COOS BAY, OR 97420 PHONE: 541-888-5922 RENEWS: 6/30/	2025	RWK	
Ē									
						E ELECTRONIC CIONATURE LO RECIZEN OR MICO	INC THE		

25. USE BMPS TO PREVENT OR MINIMIZE STORMWATER EXPOSURE TO POLLUTANTS FROM SPILLS; VEHICLE AND EQUIPMENT FUELING, MAINTENANCE, AND STORAGE; OTHER CLEANING AND MAINTENANCE ACTIVITIES; AND WASTE HANDLING ACTIVITIES. THESE POLLUTANTS INCLUDE FUEL, HYDRAULIC FLUID, AND OTHER OILS FROM VEHICLES AND MACHINERY, AS WELL AS DEBRIS, FERTILIZER, PESTICIDES AND HERBICIDES, PAINTS, SOLVENTS, CURING COMPOUNDS AND ADHESIVES FROM CONSTRUCTION OPERATIONS. (SECTIONS 2.2.15

26. PROVIDE PLANS FOR SEDIMENTATION BASINS THAT HAVE BEEN DESIGNED PER SECTION 2.2.17 AND STAMPED BY AN OREGON PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER. (SEE SECTION 2.2.17.A)

27. IF ENGINEERED SOILS ARE USED ON SITE, A SEDIMENTATION BASIN/IMPOUNDMENT MUST BE INSTALLED. (SEE SECTIONS 2.2.17 AND 2.2.18)

28. PROVIDE A DEWATERING PLAN FOR ACCUMULATED WATER FROM PRECIPITATION AND UNCONTAMINATED GROUNDWATER SEEPAGE DUE TO SHALLOW EXCAVATION ACTIVITIES. (SEE SECTION 2.4)

29. IMPLEMENT THE FOLLOWING BMPS WHEN APPLICABLE: WRITTEN SPILL PREVENTION AND RESPONSE PROCEDURES, EMPLOYEE TRAINING ON SPILL PREVENTION AND PROPER DISPOSAL PROCEDURES, SPILL KITS IN ALL VEHICLES, REGULAR MAINTENANCE SCHEDULE FOR VEHICLES AND MACHINERY, MATERIAL DELIVERY AND STORAGE CONTROLS, TRAINING AND SIGNAGE, AND COVERED STORAGE AREAS FOR WASTE AND SUPPLIES. (SECTION 2.3)

30. USE WATER, SOIL-BINDING AGENT OR OTHER DUST CONTROL TECHNIQUE AS NEEDED TO AVOID WIND-BLOWN SOIL. (SECTION 2.2.9)

31. THE APPLICATION RATE OF FERTILIZERS USED TO REESTABLISH VEGETATION MUST FOLLOW MANUFACTURER'S RECOMMENDATIONS TO MINIMIZE NUTRIENT RELEASES TO SURFACE WATERS. EXERCISE CAUTION WHEN USING TIME-RELEASE FERTILIZERS WITHIN ANY WATERWAY RIPARIAN ZONE. (SECTION 2.3.5)

32. IF AN ACTIVE TREATMENT SYSTEM (FOR EXAMPLE, ELECTRO-COAGULATION, FLOCCULATION, FILTRATION, ETC.) FOR SEDIMENT OR OTHER POLLUTANT REMOVAL IS EMPLOYED, SUBMIT AN OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE PLAN (INCLUDING SYSTEM SCHEMATIC, LOCATION OF SYSTEM, LOCATION OF INLET, LOCATION OF DISCHARGE, DISCHARGE DISPERSION DEVICE DESIGN, AND A SAMPLING PLAN AND FREQUENCY) BEFORE OPERATING THE TREATMENT SYSTEM. OBTAIN ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT PLAN APPROVAL FROM DEQ BEFORE OPERATING THE TREATMENT SYSTEM. OPERATE AND MAINTAIN THE TREATMENT SYSTEM ACCORDING TO MANUFACTURER'S SPECIFICATIONS. (SECTION 1.2.9)

33. TEMPORARILY STABILIZE SOILS AT THE END OF THE SHIFT BEFORE HOLIDAYS AND WEEKENDS, IF NEEDED. THE REGISTRANT IS RESPONSIBLE FOR ENSURING THAT SOILS ARE STABLE DURING RAIN EVENTS AT ALL TIMES OF THE YEAR. (SECTION 2.2)

34. AS NEEDED BASED ON WEATHER CONDITIONS, AT THE END OF EACH WORKDAY SOIL STOCKPILES MUST BE STABILIZED OR COVERED, OR OTHER BMPS MUST BE IMPLEMENTED TO PREVENT DISCHARGES TO SURFACE WATERS OR CONVEYANCE SYSTEMS LEADING TO SURFACE WATERS. (SECTION 2.2.8)

35. SEDIMENT FENCE: REMOVE TRAPPED SEDIMENT BEFORE IT REACHES ONE THIRD OF THE ABOVE GROUND FENCE HEIGHT AND BEFORE FENCE REMOVAL. (SECTION 2.1.5.B)

36. OTHER SEDIMENT BARRIERS (SUCH AS BIOBAGS): REMOVE SEDIMENT BEFORE IT REACHES TWO INCHES DEPTH ABOVE GROUND HEIGHT AND BEFORE BMP REMOVAL. (SECTION 2.1.5.C)

37. CATCH BASINS: CLEAN BEFORE RETENTION CAPACITY HAS BEEN REDUCED BY FIFTY PERCENT. SEDIMENT BASINS AND SEDIMENT TRAPS: REMOVE TRAPPED SEDIMENTS BEFORE DESIGN CAPACITY HAS BEEN REDUCED BY FIFTY PERCENT AND AT COMPLETION OF PROJECT. (SECTION 2.1.5.D)

38. WITHIN 24 HOURS, SIGNIFICANT SEDIMENT THAT HAS LEFT THE CONSTRUCTION SITE, MUST BE REMEDIATED. INVESTIGATE THE CAUSE OF THE SEDIMENT RELEASE AND IMPLEMENT STEPS TO PREVENT A RECURRENCE OF THE DISCHARGE WITHIN THE SAME 24 HOURS. ANY IN-STREAM CLEAN-UP OF SEDIMENT SHALL BE PERFORMED ACCORDING TO THE OREGON DEPARTMENT OF STATE LANDS REQUIRED TIMEFRAME. (SECTION 2.2.19.A)

39. THE INTENTIONAL WASHING OF SEDIMENT INTO STORM SEWERS OR DRAINAGE WAYS MUST NOT OCCUR. VACUUMING OR DRY SWEEPING AND MATERIAL PICKUP MUST BE USED TO CLEANUP RELEASED SEDIMENTS. (SECTION 2.2.19)

40. DOCUMENT ANY PORTION(S) OF THE SITE WHERE LAND DISTURBING ACTIVITIES HAVE PERMANENTLY CEASED OR WILL BE TEMPORARILY INACTIVE FOR 14 OR MORE CALENDAR DAYS. (SECTION 6.5.F.)

41. PROVIDE TEMPORARY STABILIZATION FOR THAT PORTION OF THE SITE WHERE CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITIES CEASE FOR 14 DAYS OR MORE WITH A COVERING OF BLOWN STRAW AND A TACKIFIER, LOOSE STRAW, OR AN ADEQUATE COVERING OF COMPOST MULCH UNTIL WORK RESUMES ON THAT PORTION OF THE SITE. (SECTION 2.2.20)

42. DO NOT REMOVE TEMPORARY SEDIMENT CONTROL PRACTICES UNTIL PERMANENT VEGETATION OR OTHER COVER OF EXPOSED AREAS IS ESTABLISHED. ONCE CONSTRUCTION IS COMPLETE AND THE SITE IS STABILIZED, ALL TEMPORARY EROSION CONTROLS AND RETAINED SOILS MUST BE REMOVED AND DISPOSED OF PROPERLY, UNLESS NEEDED FOR LONG TERM USE FOLLOWING TERMINATION OF PERMIT COVERAGE. (SECTION 2.2.21)



CREEK DESIGN SUPPORT, PHASE I

D SEDIMENT CONTROL NOTES





- AND DIRECTION OF THE CAR.
- 2. CATCHING SLOUGH IS INFLUENCED BY TIDAL FLUCTUATIONS AND UPSTREAM FLOWS.

SITE SPECIFIC TIDAL DATUM ARE PROVIDED FOR CONTRACTOR REFERENCE ONLY. SITE SPECIFIC TIDAL DATUM ARE DERIVED USING NOAA MONTHLY MEANS SIMULTANEOUS COMPARISON METHOD BETWEEN NOAA'S CHARLESTON TIDE STATION (9432780) AND COOSWAS INSTALLED WATER LEVEL LOGGER NEAR THE CATCHING SLOUGH SIDE OF CULVERT 1 (CATCHING SLOUGH) AND THE INTERIOR DITCH SIDE OF CULVERT 1 (INTERIOR). THE WATER LEVEL TIMESERIES USED FOR ANALYSIS SPANS AUGUST 4, 2021 UNTIL DECEMBER 8, 2021. WATER LEVELS WITHIN THE WORK AREA ARE SUBJECT TO TIDAL AND LOCALIZED RUNGEFINELOWS AND MAY VARY BEYOND THE ELEVATIONS SHOWN HERE. SITE SPECIFIC LOW WATER DATUM MAY BE INFLUENCED BY SEDIMENTATION WITHIN THE DRAINAGE CHANNEL. HIGH TIDE LINE (HTL) DATUM VALUES SHOWN FOR THE SITE ARE THE HIGHEST MEASURED COOSWA LOGGER VALUES ANALYZED WITH NOAA'S TIDAL ANALYSIS DATUM CALCULATOR, AND ARE ASSUMED TO ALIGN WITH ELEVATIONS ASSOCIATED WITH WRACKED DEBRIS OR SCUM LINES IF FIELD DELINEATED. THUS, THESE HTL VALUES ARE ASSUMED TO MEET THE REQUIREMENTS OF 33 CODE OF FEDERAL REGULATIONS SECTION 328.3 AND THE USACE JURISDICTIONAL BOUNDARY EXTENT UNDER SECTION 404 PERMITTING. IF PUMPS ARE NECESSARY OR REQUIRED, ALL PUMP INTAKES SHALL BE EXCLUDED FROM FISH ACCESS PER CAR, ODFW, AND NMFS REQUIREMENTS.

FISH SCREEN CRITERIA FROM NMFS SLOPES V

- b. ALL OTHER DIVERSIONS WILL HAVE A FISH SCREEN THAT MEETS THE FOLLOWING SPECIFICATIONS:
- ii. A ROUND OR SQUARE SCREEN MESH THAT IS NO LARGER THAN 2.38 MM (0.094") IN THE NARROW DIMENSION, OR ANY OTHER SHAPE THAT IS NO LARGER THAN 1.75 MM (0.069") IN THE NARROW DIMENSION.
- c. EACH FISH SCREEN WILL BE INSTALLED, OPERATED, AND MAINTAINED ACCORDING TO NMFS'S FISH SCREEN CRITERIA.
- AND SCOUR. ENERGY DISSIPATION MAY BE POSSIBLE USING EXISTING VEGETATION FOR FLOW DISPERSION OR ANOTHER CONTRACTOR PROPOSED AND CAR APPROVED BMP
- PIPES, HOSES, SEDIMENT CONTROL FACILITIES, ENERGY DISSIPATORS, AND OTHER APPURTENANCES IS THE RESPONSIBILITY OF THE CONTRACTOR AND SHALL BE BASED ON SITE AND FLOW CONDITIONS DURING THE CONSTRUCTION PERIOD.
- 7. MINIMIZE EXCAVATION OF SHALLOW SUMPS FOR PUMP PLACEMENT TO THE EXTENT PRACTICAL.
- 8. IF PARTIAL OR FULL ISOLATION METHODS ARE USED BY THE CONTRACTOR, MAINTAIN 2 FEET MIN FREEBOARD BETWEEN THE CATCHING SLOUGH WATER SURFACE AND THE TOP OF THE INSTALLED ISOLATION BARRIER.
- 9. ACTUAL LOCATIONS FOR TURBIDITY BARRIER, ISOLATION, PUMP, AND OTHER ESCP BMPS SHALL BE BASED ON SITE TOPOGRAPHY, EASEMENTS, AND ADJUSTED BASED ON SITE AND FLOW CONDITIONS DURING CONSTRUCTION.

TIDAL DATUM ELEVATION (FEET NAVD88), SEE GENERAL NOTE 3						
DATUM	NOAA CHARLESTON TIDE STATION (9432780)	CATCHING SLOUGH (COOSWA LOGGER)	INTERIOR (COOSWA LOGGER)			
HIGH TIDE LINE (HTL)	10.68	8.77	5.87			
MEAN HIGHER HIGH WATER (MHHW)	7.12	6.76	5.42			
MEAN HIGH WATER (MHW)	6.46	6.13	5.18			
MEAN SEA LEVEL (MSL)	3.58	3.92	3.60			
MEAN LOW WATER (MLW)	0.77	2.02	2.08			
MEAN LOWER LOW WATER (MLLW)	-0.50	1.86	1.94			

NOTES

MONTH

IN-WATI

WINDOV

AUGUST SEPTEM

JULY

- 1. FISH SALVAGE IS REQUIRED, COORDINATE WITH CAR AND ODFW PRIOR TO ESTABLISHING WORKING IN THE WET OR ISOLATION ACTIVITIES TO ENSURE SALVAGE OF AQUATIC ORGANISMS.
- 2. INSTALL AND MAINTAIN TEMPORARY EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL BMPS TO PREVENT OFFSITE CONVEYANCE OF SEDIMENT LADEN WATER AND MINIMIZE EROSION.
- 3. EXCAVATE AND REMOVE EXISTING CULVERT, DISPOSE OF REMOVED CULVERT AND TIDEGATE PER PLANS.
- 4. INSTALL NEW CULVERT AND TIDEGATE PER PLANS AND MANUFACTURER'S INSTRUCTIONS
- 5. INSTALL BACKFILL MATERIALS AND VERTICAL PIER LOGS PER PLANS AND DIRECTION OF CAR.
- - 7. CONSTRUCTION COMPLETION AND STABILIZATION WILL INCLUDE REMOVAL OF ALL EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL BMPS, AND ALLOWING WATER TO FLOW IN THE NEW CULVERT AND RESTORED CHANNELS/DITCHES.
 - 1. FISH SALVAGE IS REQUIRED, COORDINATE WITH CAR AND ODFW PRIOR TO ESTABLISHING WORKING IN THE WET OR ISOLATION ACTIVITIES TO ENSURE SALVAGE OF AQUATIC ORGANISMS.
 - 2. INSTALL AND MAINTAIN TEMPORARY EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL BMPS TO PREVENT OFFSITE CONVEYANCE OF SEDIMENT LADEN WATER AND MINIMIZE EROSION.
 - 6. CONSTRUCTION COMPLETION AND STABILIZATION WILL INCLUDE REMOVAL OF ALL EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL BMPS, AND ALLOWING WATER TO FLOW IN THE NEW CULVERT AND RESTORED CHANNELS/DITCHES.
 - INSTALL AND MAINTAIN TEMPORARY EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL BMPS TO PREVENT OFFSITE CONVEYANCE OF SEDIMENT LADEN WATER AND MINIMIZE EROSION.
 - 4. CONSTRUCTION COMPLETION WILL INCLUDE REMOVAL OF ALL EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL BMPS.



IF ELECTRONIC SIGNATURE IS BROKEN OR MISSING - THIS IS NOT A LEGAL DRAWING

AS PER ESCP NOTE 1 ON C01, THE ELEMENTS AND SEQUENCED WORK AREAS SHOWN IN THESE PLANS ARE A SCHEMATIC REPRESENTATION OF ONE POSSIBLE OPTION ONLY, USING WORKING IN THE WET METHODS AND ASSUMING CONTRACTOR WORK AT LOW TIDES. CONTRACTOR MAY PROPOSE AN ALTERNATIVE APPROACH. INCLUDING PARTIAL OR FULL ISOLATION, PER THESE PLANS

a. SUBMIT TO NMFS FOR REVIEW AND APPROVAL FISH SCREEN DESIGNS FOR SURFACE WATER DIVERTED BY GRAVITY OR BY PUMPING AT A RATE THAT EXCEEDS 3 CFS.

I AN AUTOMATED CLEANING DEVICE WITH A MIN FEFECTIVE SURFACE AREA OF 2.5 SQUARE FEET PER CES, AND A NOMINAL MAXIMUM APPROACH VELOCITY OF 0.4 FEET PER SECOND, OR NO AUTOMATED CLEANING DEVICE, A MIN EFFECTIVE SURFACE AREA OF 1 SQUARE FOOT PER CFS, AND A NOM MAX APPROACH RATE OF 0.2 FOOT PER SECOND; AND

PUMPED WATER MAYBE DISCHARGED WITHIN UPSLOPE FIELDS OR UNNAMED TRIBUTARY CHANNELS PROVIDED THAT SUFFICIENT ENERGY DISSIPATION IS ACHIEVED SO AS TO PREVENT EROSION

6 THE ESTIMATED UNNAMED TRIBUTARY DISCHARGES ARE PROVIDED FOR CONTRACTOR CONVENIENCE. THESE ARE ESTIMATED VALUES ONLY AND ARE BASED ON USGS REGIONAL REGRESSIONS EXTRAPOLATED WITH UNKNOWN ERRORS DUE TO PARAMETERS OUTSIDE OF THE SUGGESTED REGRESSION GOODNESS OF FIT RANGE. SIZING OF THE TEMPORARY WATER MANAGEMENT PUMPS,

UNNAMED TRIBUTARIES AT SEELANDER PROJECT SITE ESTIMATED FLOWS FOR TEMPORARY WATER MANAGEMENT

DURING	MONTHLY AVERAGE DAILY DISCHARGE IN CUBIC FEET PER SECOND (GALLONS PER MINUTE)							
R WORK /	5-PERCENT EXCEEDANCE FLOW ¹	25-PERCENT EXCEEDANCE FLOW ²	50-PERCENT EXCEEDANCE FLOW ³	95-PERCENT EXCEEDANCE FLOW ⁴				
	0.012 (5.3)	0.005 (2.5)	0.003 (1.3)	0 (0)				
	0.008 (3.6)	0.003 (1.3)	0.001 (0.6)	0 (0)				
BER	0.019 (8.5)	0.002 (0.7)	0.0005 (0.2)	0 (0)				

1	AVERAGE DAILY DISCHARGE EXPECTED TO BE EXCEEDED 2 DAYS EACH MONTH
2	AVERAGE DAILY DISCHARGE EXPECTED TO BE EXCEEDED 8 DAYS EACH MONTH
3	AVERAGE DAILY DISCHARGE EXPECTED TO BE EXCEEDED 16 DAYS EACH MONTH
4	AVERAGE DAILY DISCHARGE EXPECTED TO BE EXCEEDED 29 DAYS EACH MONTH



DRAWING NO.

SHEET NO.

C02

SEELANDER CREEK DESIGN SUPPORT, PHASE I

EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL PLAN

OF



IF ELECTRONIC SIGNATURE IS BROKEN OR MISSING - THIS IS NOT A LEGAL DRAWING

EXISTING CONDITIONS PLAN

SEELANDER CREEK DESIGN SUPPORT, PHASE I





CLAS	SIFICATION					
	SPECIAL MODIFIERS	CODE	AREA (ACRES)			
	PARTLY DRAINED/DITCHED	PEM1Ad	5.43			
ED	PARTLY DRAINED/DITCHED	PEM1Cd	5.52			
	DIKED/IMPOUNDED	PFOAh	0.61			
DAL	-	PEM1R	1.65			
ED	PARTLY DRAINED/DITCHED	PEM1Cd	21.81			
		35.02				
ND INVENTORY, AVAILABLE ONLINE AT						



	_			
	-9			
		_		
<u> </u>				
			<u> </u>	
\geq	8-			
\sim				
		7		
		6		
\sim				CULVERT 1 CL
		5_		
\sim	4		-4	
		7		
\neg			2	
		/		
-		Χ	1	
		1)		1+75
\sim		-		
				2-
			~ -	
		/		
		/		
ULVERT AN	D TIDEGATE TO BE	/		-5
EMOVED, S	EE NOTES C08.	/		
PPROX DUE	TO BURIAL IN			
EDIMENT. C	DITIONS.			
	/			TRIBUTARY 1
	/			
	/			
	/			
	/			- TEMPORARY CHECK DAM,
	/			RD1005, TYP
	/			
	/			
	/			
	< /			
	\sim			
			6	
ULVERT	1 TRENCH LAYO	UT COORDINATE	S TABLE	
IT #	NORTHING	EASTING	ELEV	
14	612202.900	3950288.000	8.049	
15	612208.200	3950300.000	11.409	
16	612206.900	3950318.000	10.353	
17	612190 800	3950334 000	-0.617	
18	612183 800	3950334 000	-0.617	
19	612175.000	3950325.000	5 1/6	
20	612166.000	3950323.000	10 250	
-0	610166 700	3950310.000	10.300	
21	012166./00	3950291.000	10.206	
22	612182.600	3950275.000	-0.617	
23	612189.600	3950275.000	-0.617	
		1.5H:1V CULVERT TRE		
IG METHO	DOLOGY.	COLOR MAT PROPUS		Kenny withit's below.
				valit before you dig.
				DRAWING NO.
UKEEK	DESIGN SUPPOR	I, PHASE I		
				C04
CULV	ERT 1 PLAN			
				SHEET NO.
				6



CONTRACTOR TO REMOVE, HAUL, AND DISPOSE OF CULVERT 2 AT APPROPRIATE OFF-SITE DISPOSAL FACILITY. FOLLOWING REMOVAL OF CULVERT 2, CONTRACTOR TO RESTORE BERM TO PRE-CONSTRUCTION GRADES BY PLACING NATIVE TRENCH BACKFILL IN 8 INCH (MAX) LIFT HEIGHTS, AND COMPACTING EACH LIFT TO 95% MAXIMUM DRY DENSITY PER ASTM D1557. ADDITIONAL FILL FROM CULVERT 1 OR CULVERT 3 TRENCH EXCAVATIONS MAY BE NEEDED TO ACHIEVE PRE-CONSTRUCTION BERM ELEVATIONS AT CULVERT 2 DUE TO VOLUME ASSOCIATED WITH CULVERT AND COMPACTION



C05

7

11

SHEET NO.

SEELANDER CREEK DESIGN SUPPORT, PHASE I

CULVERT 2 DEMOLITION PLAN

OF



CULVERT 3 MATERIAL SCHEDULE				
	QUANTITY	DIA (INCHES)	LENGTH (FEET)	
TE® HP VALENT)	1	48	40	
1A WITH R AND 4 E LENT)	1	48	-	
RAP	75 TN	-	-	
NKET	15 TN	-	-	
	8 TN	-	-	
	38 TN	-	-	
S	12	12 (MIN)	20	

CUT AND FILL SUMMARY				
	CUT (CY)	FILL (CY)	BALANCE (CY)*	
RM	40	50	-10	
TLET	110	0	110	
BACKFILL	190	80	110	
D**	0	210	-210	
	340	340	0	

* NEGATIVE BALANCE VALUES DENOTE SITE FILL VOLUME DEFICIT AND SITE REQUIRING MORE FILL THAN CUT PER ** SEE SHTS C02 AND C08 FOR APPROX THIN SPREAD LOCATIONS AND NOTES.

CULVERT 3 TRENCH LAYOUT COORDINATES TABLE			
POINT #	NORTHING	EASTING	ELEV
1133	610818.700	3949591.000	10.000
1134	610805.600	3949600.000	10.000
1135	610785.400	3949598.000	0.883
1136	610780.600	3949591.000	0.883
1137	610780.900	3949574.000	10.000
1138	610792.400	3949566.000	9.943
1139	610802.200	3949564.000	7.142
1140	610813.000	3949568.000	0.883
1141	610817.900	3949575.000	0.883
* LAYOUT COORDINATES FOR ASSUMED 1.5H:1V CULVERT TRENCHING FOR CONTRACTOR REFERENCE ONLY, CONTRACTOR MAY PROPOSE ALTERNATE TRENCHING METHODOLOGY.			



DRAWING NO.

SHEET NO.

C06

8

11

SEELANDER CREEK DESIGN SUPPORT, PHASE I

CULVERT 3 PLAN

OF



GENERAL NOTES

- EQUIVALENT).
- 3. BEDDING AND PIPE ZONE MATERIAL
- WITH COMPACTED PIPE BEDDING MATERIAL.
- COARSER RIPRAP PLACE ON TOP OF GRANULAR FILTER BLANKET.
- RIPRAP MATERIAL ONTO GRANULAR FILTER BLANKET. 9.
- 10. FINISHED RIPRAP SURFACE.
- 11



MITIGATOR









SIDE HINGED TIDEGATE WITH MITIGATOR PRIOR TO INSTALLATION - SIDE VIEW ´2 Scale: NTS

14.17

SIDE HINGE

ALUMINUM HEADWALL

4 FOOT LONG TIDEGATE SLEEVE INSTALLED ON TRIPLE WALL SANITITE® HP PIPE

DATE



IF ELECTRONIC SIGNATURE IS BROKEN OR MISSING - THIS IS NOT A LEGAL DRAWING

NEW CULVERT PIPES SHALL BE TRIPLE WALL ADS SANTITE® HP PIPE (OR APPROVED EQUIVALENT) NEW TIDEGATES SHALL BE NEHALEM MARINE NSG4MA WITH 12 INCH AUXILIARY DOOR AND 4 FOOT PIPE SLEEVE (OR APPROVED

TEMPORARY TRENCH SIDE SLOPES ASSUMED AS 1.5H:1V. MINIMIZE TEMPORARY TRENCH EXCAVATION AS PRACTICAL AND IN ACCORDANCE WITH OSHA REQUIREMENTS SO AS TO LIMIT THE DISTURBANCE OF NATIVE SUBGRADE AND QUANTITY OF IMPORTED PIPE

CONTRACTOR TO REMOVE, HAUL, AND DISPOSE OF EXISTING CULVERTS AND TIDEGATES AT APPROPRIATE OFF-SITE DISPOSAL FACILITY. SUBGRADE SURFACES SHALL BE GRADED AND COMPACTED TO BE SMOOTH. PREPARED SUBGRADE SURFACES SHALL BE FREE FROM MOUNDS, DIPS, CUTS, AND DEBRIS. POCKETS OF SAND OVER 2 CUBIC FEET IN SUBGRADE SHALL BE OVEREXCAVATED AND REPLACED

TRENCH BACKFILL SHALL BE ODOT CLASS A EXCAVATED NATIVE MATERIAL, FREE FROM ORGANIC OR DELETERIOUS MATERIALS, ROCKS OR BROKEN CONCRETE, OR OTHER FOREIGN MATERIALS. TRENCH BACKFILL SHALL BE APPROVED BY ENGINEER PRIOR TO PLACEMENT. PLACE TRENCH BACKFILL IN 8 INCH (MAX) LIFT HEIGHTS AND COMPACT EACH LIFT TO 95% MAXIMUM DRY DENSITY PER ASTM D1557. GRANULAR FILTER BLANKET IS SPECIFIED ONLY WHERE RIPRAP WILL BE PLACED. GRANULAR FILTER BLANKET SHALL BE 6" (MIN) LAYER OF WELL GRADED 4"-0 STONE PER ODOT STD SPEC 00330.16 TO PROVIDE SEPARATION BETWEEN FINER SUBSURFACE FILLS AND

RIPRAP SHALL BE LOOSELY PLACED ON TOP OF GRANULAR FILTER BLANKET PER ODOT STD SPEC 00390.44(b). DO NOT DUMP OR DROP

PIPE BEDDING AND PIPE ZONE MATERIAL SHALL BE $\frac{3}{4}$ -0 AGGREGATE, OR WITH ENGINEER APPROVAL QUARRY SCALPS/REJECT MAY BE SUBSTITUTED. CONTRACTOR TO PROVIDE 2 GALLON (MIN) SAMPLE OF PIPE BEDDING AND PIPE ZONE MATERIAL FOR CAR INSPECTION 5 DAYS (MIN) PRIOR TO MATERIAL DELIVERY TO SITE. PLACE AND COMPACT PIPE BEDDING SURFACE TO 95% MAXIMUM DRY DENSITY PER ASTM D1557 AND GRADE SHOWN. HAND TAMP PIPE ZONE MATERIAL AROUND CULVERT TO WITHIN 1.1 FEET OF TOP OF PIPE. VERTICAL PIER LOGS ARE INTENDED TO SUPPORT RETENTION OF RIPRAP AND PREVENT RIPRAP PARTICLES FROM OBSTRUCTING TIDEGATE AND CULVERT OPERATION IF PARTICLES ARE MOBILIZED DOWN ARMORED SLOPES. VERTICAL PIER LOGS SHALL BE UNTREATED WHOLE LOGS AND SOURCED FROM CEDAR, DOUGLAS FIR, OR OTHER CONIFER SPECIES WITH PRIOR CAR APPROVAL. PIER LOG LIMBS SHALL BE REMOVED TO WITHIN 1 INCH OF LOG STEM. VERTICAL PIER LOGS SHALL HAVE A MAXIMUM DIAMETER TAPER OF 1 INCH PER 10 FEET, OR AS OTHERWISE APPROVED BY THE ENGINEER . VERTICAL PIER LOGS SHALL BE DRIVEN AT A VERTICAL ORIENTATION OR WITH SLIGHT LANDWARD BATTER TO A BOTTOM TIP ELEVATION OF -11.5 FEET OR REFUSAL. ENSURE LOG-TO-LOG CONTACT BETWEEN AD ACENT PIER LOGS TO FORM A CONTINUOUS RETENTION FACE. REPOSITION AND RE-DRIVE PIER LOGS IF NECESSARY TO ACHIEVE LOG-TO-LOG CONTACT. A LENGTH OF 2 FEET MAX FROM THE TERMINAL ENDS OF THE PIER LOGS MAY BE SHARPENED OR MITER CUT PRIOR TO DRIVING INTO THE SUBGRADE. PIER LOG TOPS SHALL EXTEND A MINIMUM 4 FEET ABOVE THE

EXCESS CUT MATERIAL MAY BE THIN SPREAD ALONG EXISTING BERM TOPS AND LANDWARD SIDE SLOPES TO REHABILITATE SURFACE DEFORMITIES AND AS OTHERWISE DIRECTED BY CAR. THIN SPREAD MATERIAL SHALL BE PLACED IN A SINGLE UNCOMPACTED LIFT WITH A LAYER HEIGHT NOT TO EXCEED 3 INCHES. TRACK WALK THIN SPREAD MATERIAL AND APPLY PERMANENT EROSION CONTROL SEEDING AND PLANTING PER CAR. SEE SHT C02 FOR APPROX THIN SPREAD AREAS TO BE DELINEATED BY CAR.





GENERAL NOTES

- 1 GEOTEXTILE IS ON TOP OF THE DECKING.
- 3.
- FROM ENGINEER'S OBSERVATIONS AND NOT BASED ON ACTUAL GROUND SURVEY.

CONSTRUCTION NOTES

- FROM BEING INTRODUCED TO SEELANDER CREEK. 2.
- REMOVE AND SALVAGE THE EXISTING GATE FOR REUSE AND LATER INSTALLATION BY THE LANDOWNER
- REMOVE THE GEOTEXTILE AND DISPOSE AT A CAR APPROVED OFFSITE FACILITY.
- 6 TREATED WITH KLEARGARD 25 OR EQUIVALENT ANTIFUNGAL TREATMENT.
- 8 BORE HOLES FOR LAG SCREWS IN TWO PARTS AS FOLLOWS: 9.
- 9.1. SHANK
- 9.2
- PRESERVATIVE.



IF ELECTRONIC SIGNATURE IS BROKEN OR MISSING - THIS IS NOT A LEGAL DRAWING

THE EXISTING WOOD AG CROSSING BRIDGE IS AN APPROXIMATELY 24-FEET-LONG X 12-FEET-WIDE WOODEN BRIDGE. DECKING IS COMPRISED OF 6-FOOT-LONG 8X8 ON EACH SIDE. EACH SIDE OF THE 8X8 DECKING IS SUPPORTED BY TWO 24-FOOT-LONG 12X12 LONGITUDINAL BEAMS. A

THE DOWNSTREAM-MOST LONGITUDINAL BEAM IS DAMAGED, CAUSING THE BRIDGE TO SAG, AND NEEDS TO BE REPLACED. THE 8X8 DECKING IS

ASSUMED TO BE INTACT AND CAPABLE OF SALVAGE FOR REUSE. CONTOURS AND SEELANDER CREEK ARE GRAPHICAL DEPICTIONS PROVIDED FOR CONTRACTOR REFERENCE ONLY, AND ARE APPROXIMATED

FLOWS AND WATER LEVELS WITHIN SEELANDER CREEK ARE EFFECTED BY TIDAL INFLUENCES FROM CATCHING SLOUGH AND UPSTREAM FLUVIAL INPUTS, AS WELL AS DIRECT PRECIPITATION AND LOCALIZED RUNOFF/SEEPAGE FLOWS. THE BRIDGE BEAM REPAIR EFFORT IS ASSUMED TO OCCUR FROM MACHINE AND OPERATOR ACCESS ABOVE ORDINARY HIGH WATER, HOWEVER TEMPORARY AND CONTRACTOR PROPOSED ESCP MEASURES SHALL PROVIDE PROTECTION OF SEELANDER CREEK AND OFFSITE AREAS FROM INADVERTENT TURBIDITY.

INSTALL SEDIMENT AND EROSION BMPS TO PREVENT SEDIMENT DISTURBED FROM LONGITUDINAL BEAM ABUTMENTS AND ON TOP OF BRIDGE

CONTRACTOR TO COORDINATE WITH CAR REGARDING METHOD OF GEOTEXTILE REMOVAL TO PREVENT SEDIMENT AND TURBIDITY WITHIN SEELANDER CREEK. THIS METHOD MAY INVOLVE FIRST CLEANING THE SEDIMENT FROM THE SURFACE OF THE GEOTEXTILE.

REMOVE THE 8X8 DECKING ON THE DOWNSTREAM SIDE OF THE BRIDGE AND SALVAGE FOR REUSE.

REMOVE THE DAMAGED 24-FOOT-LONG 12X12 LONGITUDINAL BEAM AND REPLACE WITH A NEW BEAM. NEW LONGITUDINAL BEAM SHALL BE

MINOR GRADING AND COMPACTING USING SHOVEL AND HAND TAMPING OF NATIVE SUBGRADE MAY BE NECESSARY TO PREPARE A LEVEL PLATFORM FOR THE NEW BEAM AND ALLOW THE SALVAGED DECKING TO BE REINSTALLED FLUSH TO THE EXISTING UPSTREAM SIDE DECKING. REINSTALL 8X8 DECKING ON DOWNSTREAM SIDE OF BRIDGE USING 5/8-INCH GALVANIZED LAG BOLTS WITH 2-INCH MALLEABLE WASHERS ON EACH EACH 8X8 END TO CONNECT TO THE SUPPORTING LONGITUDINAL BEAMS.

BORE THE LEAD HOLE FOR THE SHANK THE SAME DIAMETER AS THE SHANK AND SAME DEPTH AS THE LENGTH OF THE UNTHREADED

BORE THE LEAD HOLE FOR THE THREADED PORTION A DIAMETER EQUAL TO APPROXIMATELY TWO-THIRDS THE SHANK DIAMETER. BORE HOLES TO ALLOW FOR LAG SCREWS TO BE COUNTERSUNK AND PROVIDE FOR A SMOOTH DECK SURFACE.
 FIELD-TREAT ALL CUTS, ABRASIONS, DAMAGED WOOD SURFACES, AND ALL BORED FACES WITH CU-89-RTU II OR OTHER CAR APPROVED FIELD



20-JAN-2021

RD1000.dgn

Effective Date: June 1, 2023 - November 30, 2023





20-JAN-2021

dgn RD1040.

FENCE SPACING FOR GENERAL APPLICATION TABLE

INSTALL PARALLEL ALONG CONTOURS AS FOLLOWS

GRADE	MAXIMUM SPACING ON GRADE
<i>Grade < 10%</i>	300'
1 <i>0% <u></u> Grade < 15%</i>	150'
1 <i>5% <u><</u> Grade < 20%</i>	100'
20% <u><</u> Grade < 30%	50'
<i>30% </i>	25'

6' Sediment Fence with Geotextile elongation less than 50% 4' Sediment Fence with Geotextile elongation 50% or more

		All materials shall be in accordance with the current Oregon Standard Specifications.		
and use of this		OREGON STANDARD DRAWINGS		
ing, while				
cordance with		SEDIMENT FENCE		
oted engineering	SEDIMENT FENCE			
practices, is the	2021			
ility of the user	DATE	REVISION DESCRIPTION		
t be used without	01-2021 REMOVED CALC BOOK NUMBERS			
a Registered				
naineer				
igineer.	CALC. BOOK NO	DN/A SDR RD1040		
~ 1000 - November 30, 2023				

Effective Date: June 1, 2023 – November 30, 2023











TIRE WASH - TYPE 2

NOT TO SCALE



Effective Date: June 1, 2023 - November 30, 2023

SEDIMENT BARRIER FLOATING



Flotation – 8" Dia. equivalent

Registered Professional Engineer.

OREGON DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION TECHNICAL SERVICES DETAILS

TURBIDITY BARRIER

DETAIL NO.

DET6006

Appendix C

PHASE 2 DESIGN PLANS

Page Intentionally Left Blank

SEELANDER INTERIOR DRAINAGE FINAL DESIGN SUPPORT, PHASE II COOS BAY, OR





ODFW IN-WATER WORK WINDOW FOR THE MILLICOMA RIVER, SOUTH FORK COOS RIVER, AND TRIBUTARIES: JULY 1 TO SEPTEMBER 15 AND PER GENERAL ESCP NOTE 13 ON SHT G02



IF ELECTRONIC SIGNATURE IS BROKEN OR MISSING - THIS IS NOT A LEGAL DRAWING



ADDITIONAL ODOT STD BMP DWGS AND DETS ATTACHED FOR CONTRACTOR REFERENCE ONLY SEE SHTS 692 AND CO1 FOR INFORMATION ON CONTRACTOR PREPARED ESCP AND BMP RESPONSIBILITES.

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

THE SEELANDER CREEK PROJECT SEEKS TO RESTORE WATERSHED FUNCTION THROUGH RIPARIAN PLANTING/FENCING, IMPROVING FISH PASSAGE, AND ENHANCING ACCESS TO OFF-CHANNEL HABITAT BY ADDRESSING 10 UNDERSIZED AND FAILING WATERWAY CROSSINGS. THE PROJECT PROPOSES TO INSTALL 13,250 FEET OF LIVESTOCK EXCLUSION FENCING ALONG 1.9 MILES OF STREAM AND WETLAND HABITAT TO PROVIDE RIPARIAN SETBACKS RANGING BETWEEN 20 FEET AND 40 FEET WIDE. THESE SETBACKS WILL SUPPORT DEVELOPMENT OF 10.3 ACRES OF RIPARIAN BUFFER PLANTED WITH NATIVE TREE, SHRUB, AND WETLAND PLANT SPECIES. PLANT ESTABLISHMENT ACTIVITIES WILL OCCUR INCREMENTALLY OVER 5 YEARS TO ACHIEVE A GOAL OF 80% PLANT SURVIVAL.

TO ADDRESS THE 10 FAILING AND UNDERSIZED (24-72 INCH DIA) WATERWAY CROSSINGS, COOSWA HAS PARTNERED WITH THE COOS COUNTY ROAD DEPT TO REPLACE 2 CROSSINGS, WORK WITH PRIVATE LOWLAND LANDOWNERS TO UPGRADE AN AG BRIDGE AND 2 AG CULVERTS, FULLY DECOMMISSION 2 AG CROSSINGS, AND REPLACE 3 OUTDATED AND FAILING CULVERT/TIDEGATE STRUCTURES WITH 2 MODERN FISH FRIENDLY MITIGATOR STYLE TIDEGATES. ALL CROSSINGS HAVE BEEN SIZED TO MEET NOAA FISH PASSAGE CRITERIA (1.5XACW AND >20% EMBEDDEDNESS). THESE STRUCTURES WILL IMPROVE ACCESS TO KEY COHO HABITATS AND PROVIDE ACCESS TO NEARLY 7 MILES OF CRITICAL SPAWNING AND REARING HABITAT (KEY LIMITING FACTORS). UPPER CATCHING SLOUGH AND SEELANDER CREEK RANKED VERY HIGH IN THE RECENT COOS WATERSHED COHO STRATEGIC ACTION PLAN.

IMPLEMENTATION OF THE PROJECT IS IN TWO PHASES. PHASE I FOCUSES ON REPLACEMENT OF UNDERSIZED AND FAILING TIDE GATED CULVERTS WITH MODERN FISH FRIENDLY MITIGATOR STYLE TIDE GATES, DECOMMISSIONING OF AN UNRECESSARY AGRICULTURAL TIDE GATED CULVERT CROSSING, AND REPAIR OF A DAMAGED WOODEN BEAM ON AN AGRICULTURAL CROSSING. PHASE II FOCUSES ON INTERIOR DRAINAGE IMPROVEMENTS AND HABITAT ENHANCEMENTS THROUGH DITCH REALIGNMENT AND PLUGGING, BANK PULL BACK, AND INSTALLATION OF TWO AGRICULTURAL CROSSINGS.

SEELANDER INTERIOR DRAINAGE FINAL DESIGN SUPPORT, PHASE II

COVER SHEET AND DRAWING INDEX



GENERAL NOTES:

- 1. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL COMPLY WITH ALL APPLICABLE FEDERAL, STATE, AND LOCAL LAWS AND REGULATIONS, INCLUDING REQUIREMENTS SET FORTH IN THE PERMITS OBTAINED BY CAR FOR THIS PROJECT. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL MAINTAIN A CAR PROVIDED COPY OF ALL PERMITS ONSITE DURING CONSTRUCTION.
- THE CONTRACTOR IS RESPONSIBLE FOR JOB SITE CONDITIONS AND THE SAFETY OF HUMAN LIFE DURING THE COURSE OF CONSTRUCTION. SAFETY PRACTICES SHALL COMPLY WITH ALL APPLICABLE LAWS AND REGULATIONS (E.G., OSHA). THIS REQUIREMENT SHALL APPLY CONTINUOUSLY DURING THE PERIOD OF CONSTRUCTION AND NOT BE LIMITED TO NORMAL WORKING HOURS.
- 3. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL KEEP THE JOB SITE AREA CLEAN AND HAZARD-FREE, AND SHALL DISPOSE OF ALL DEBRIS, RUBBISH, AND CONSTRUCTION WASTE. UNLESS OTHERWISE DIRECTED BY THE CAR, ALL DISTURBED AREAS SHALL BE REHABILITATED TO A SMOOTH GRADE MATCHED TO UNDISTURBED ADJACENT GRADES SO AS TO FACILITATE POST-CONSTRUCTION SHEET FLOW RUNOFF AND PREVENT CONCENTRATED OR IMPEDED RUNOFF FLOWS.
- 4. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL PROTECT THE STAGING AREA SO THAT EQUIPMENT AND MATERIALS DO NOT DAMAGE ADJACENT VEGETATED AREAS OR WATERWAYS.
- 5. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL VISIT THE JOB SITE WITH THE CAR AND CONFIRM THAT THE WORK MAY BE ACCOMPLISHED AS SHOWN PRIOR TO PROCEEDING WITH CONSTRUCTION.
- 6. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL INSTALL ALL EQUIPMENT AND MATERIALS IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE MANUFACTURER'S RECOMMENDATIONS UNLESS SPECIFICALLY INDICATED OTHERWISE.
- 7. IT IS ASSUMED THAT RIDGEWAY LANE MAY BE CLOSED FROM PRIVATE PROPERTY ACCESS BY THE CONTRACTOR FOR THE DURATION OF CONSTRUCTION. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL COORDINATE WITH CAR ON TRAFFIC CONTROL NEEDS FOR WAYMIRE LANE. CONTRACTOR TO COORDINATE WITH CAR ON CONSTRUCTION SCHEDULING, LANDOWNER NOTIFICATION, AND ANY NECESSARY SIGNAGE. CAR TO ACT AS DIRECT POINT OF CONTACT WITH LANDOWNERS.

SURVEY CONTROL AND CONSTRUCTION LIMITS NOTES:

- 1. EXISTING GROUND ELEVATION AND SURVEY CONTROL POINT DATA IS FROM DOGAMI 2009 SOUTH COAST DIGITAL TERRAIN MODEL AND COOSWA SURVEYS IN 2021 AND 2022.
- 2. PROJECTED COORDINATE SYSTEM
 - HORIZONTAL: NAD83 OREGON STATE PLANE (POLYCONIC), SOUTH ZONE, WITH UNITS OF INTERNATIONAL FEET VERTICAL: NAVD88 WITH UNITS OF FEFT
- 3. ALL STATIONING REFERS TO THE CENTERLINE OF CONSTRUCTION, OR AS SHOWN, AND IS THE MEASURED HORIZONTAL DISTANCE.
- 4. CONSTRUCTION LIMITS, CENTERLINE, AND OFFSET STAKING TO BE PERFORMED BY THE CONTRACTOR, UNLESS OTHERWISE DIRECTED BY CAR.
- 5. ALL CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITY, INCLUDING STAGING AND STOCKPILING OF IMPORTED AND SITE EXCAVATED OR REMOVED MATERIALS, SHALL BE CONFINED TO THE LIMITS OF THE GRADING, TEMPORARY CONSTRUCTION STAGING AREAS SHOWN AND DESCRIBED IN THESE PLANS. CONTRACTOR IS RESPONSIBLE FOR ESTABLISHING AND MAINTAINING REQUIRED DATUM, BENCHMARKS, CONTROL LINES AND LEVELS. DO NOT DISTURB, EXCAVATE, OR WORK BEYOND THE CONSTRUCTION LIMITS WITHOUT APPROVAL FROM CAR.
- 6. UTILITIES HAVE NOT BEEN FULLY SURVEYED. CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR CONTACTING OREGON UTILITY NOTIFICATION CENTER AT 800.332.2344 72-HOURS PRIOR TO COMMENCEMENT OF CONSTRUCTION WORK. CONTRACTOR IS RESPONSIBLE FOR MAINTAINING MARKINGS.

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION NOTES:

- 1. IF THE CONTRACTOR ENCOUNTERS POTENTIALLY HAZARDOUS MATERIALS, THE CONTRACTOR SHALL IMMEDIATELY NOTIFY THE CAR. ALL CONSTRUCTION SPOILS AND WASTE MATERIALS THAT CONTACT OR CONTAIN HAZARDOUS MATERIALS SHALL BE DISPOSED OF AT AN APPROVED LANDFILL FACILITY.
- 2. EXISTING ON-SITE MATERIALS SHALL BE CAREFULLY REMOVED AND STORED OR DISPOSED OF. COMPLETELY REMOVE STUMPS, ROOTS, WILLOWS, SHRUBS, WEEDS, AND OTHER DEBRIS PROTRUDING FROM THE GROUND WITHIN THE LIMITS OF GRADING AND AS DIRECTED BY THE CAR.
- TREES AND LAND AREAS OUTSIDE THE CONSTRUCTION LIMITS SHALL BE PROTECTED FROM DAMAGE. EXERCISE CARE TO AVOID DAMAGE TO NATURAL VEGETATION. ANY TREE TRIMMING OR REMOVAL, INCLUDING WITHIN THE GRADING LIMITS, SHALL BE PRE-APPROVED BY CAR.

TEMPORARY CONSTRUCTION FACILITIES NOTES:

EXISTING

- - - 1 - - -

MAJOR CONTOUR

MINOR CONTOUR

ORDINARY HIGH WATER

FENCE

ROAD DITCH CL

WETLAND AREA FROM USFWS

1. ALL TEMPORARY UTILITIES AND FACILITIES WILL BE THE RESPONSIBILITY OF THE CONTRACTOR. A CONSTRUCTION TRAILER IS NOT REQUIRED. NO EXISTING CONNECTIONS FOR POTABLE WATER OR ELECTRICITY ARE PRESENT AT THE SITE. A CHEMICAL TOILET OF SUITABLE TYPE SHALL BE PROVIDED AND MAINTAINED BY THE CONTRACTOR AT ALL TIMES.

LEGEND

PROPOSED

-0-0-

MAJOR CONTOUR

MINOR CONTOUR

TEMPORARY SEDIMENT FENCE

TEMPORARY BLOCK NET

TEMPORARY PUMP

TEMPORARY CONSTRUCTION ACCESS TEMPORARY TURBIDITY BARRIER

LAYOUT COORDINATE

ID REGULATIONS, THE CONTRACTOR 1. EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL PLAN (ESCP) ELEMENTS AND SEQUENCED WORK AREAS SHOWN IN THESE PLANS ARE A SCHEMATIC REPRESENTATION OF ONE POSSIBLE OPTION ONLY, CONTRACTOR MAY PROPOSE AN ALTERNATIVE APPROACH

- FOURTEEN (14) CALENDAR DAYS BEFORE BEGINNING WORK THE CONTRACTOR SHALL SUBMIT AN ESCP FOR APPROVAL BY CAR PRIOR TO COMMENCING WORK. CONTRACTOR SUBMITTED ESCP SHALL INCORPORATE ALL BMP MEASURES PER PROJECT PERMIT REQUIREMENTS. CONTRACTOR TO COORDINATE WITH CAR REGARDING PERMIT REQUIREMENTS.
- 3. CONTRACTOR TO VERIFY SITE CONDITIONS PRIOR TO DEVELOPMENT OF ESCP.

EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL PLAN (ESCP) NOTES:

- 4. CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE AT ALL TIMES FOR PREVENTING SILT-LADEN RUNOFF FROM DISCHARGING FROM THE PROJECT SITE. FAILURE BY THE CONTRACTOR CAN RESULT IN A FINE. THE CONTRACTOR DEVELOPED ESCP SHALL IDENTIFY THE CONTRACTOR'S DESIGNATED CONTACT PERSON. ALTHOUGH THE DESIGNATED CONTACT PERSON DOES NOT NEED TO BE ON-SITE CONTINUOUSLY THROUGHOUT CONSTRUCTION, THE DESIGNATED CONTACT PERSON MUST BE AVAILABLE FOR CONTACT BY TELEPHONE ON A 24 HOUR BASIS THROUGHOUT CONSTRUCTION AND UNTIL THE PROJECT HAS BEEN COMPLETED AND ACCEPTED BY THE CAR.
- 5. ADJACENT PROPERTIES SHALL BE PROTECTED FROM SEDIMENT DEPOSITION BY APPROPRIATE USE OF SEDIMENT BARRIERS OR FILTERS, CHECK DAMS, STRAW BALES, OR BY A COMBINATION OF THESE MEASURES AND OTHER APPROPRIATE BMPS.
- 6. TEMPORARY ESCP BMP'S TO REMAIN IN PLACE UNTIL THE SITE IS STABILIZED AFTER CONSTRUCTION.
- 7. EMERGENCY EROSION CONTROL MATERIALS SHALL BE AVAILABLE AT THE SITE, INCLUDING A SUPPLY OF SEDIMENT CONTROL MATERIALS AND AN OIL-ABSORBING FLOATING BOOM WHENEVER SURFACE WATER OR WETLAND AREAS ARE WITHIN 150 FEET OF ACTIVE AND IDLE MACHINERY.
- 8. CONTRACTOR TO COORDINATE WITH CAR REGARDING PRESENCE OF AQUATIC ORGANISM EXCLUSION AND SALVAGE WITHIN WATERWAYS ADJACENT TO THE WORK AREAS AND FISH EXCLUSION REQUIREMENTS PER CAR AND ODFW FISH BIOLOGIST. CONTRACTOR SHALL NOTIFY CAR 5 DAYS PRIOR TO IMPLEMENTING WORK AREA ISOLATION, INCLUDING WHEN WORKING IN THE WET IF CONTRACTOR PREPARED ESCP ELECTS, AND FISH EXCLUSION MEASURES.
- 9. CONSTRUCTION ACCESS AND STAGING IS ASSUMED TO OCCUR ALONG RIDGEWAY LANE.
- 10. CONTRACTOR TO FLAG CONTRACTOR PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION ACCESS ROUTES FOR CAR INSPECTION PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION. TEMPORARY CONSTRUCTION ACCESS ROUTES SHALL BE ALIGNED SO AS TO MINIMIZE DISTURBANCE.
- 11. THE CONSTRUCTION STAGING AREA IS ASSUMED TO BE ON RIDGEWAY LANE. THE STAGING AREA SHALL BE KEPT TO THE MINIMAL PRACTICAL EXTENT TO MINIMIZE DISTURBANCE.
- 12. TEMPORARY CONSTRUCTION ACCESS ROUTE AND STAGING AREA SHALL BE RESTORED PER THESE PLANS AND DIRECTION OF CAR.
- 13. ALL WORK BELOW OHW MUST BE CONDUCTED DURING THE IN-WATER WORK PERIOD SHOWN ON G01 UNLESS A WRITTEN EXTENSION IS RECEIVED BY THE CAR FROM PERMITTING AGENCIES AS RECOMMENDED BY ODFW AND NMFS.
- 14. EXCAVATED MATERIAL IN EXCESS OF THAT NEEDED TO OR DEEMED BY PROJECT ENGINEER TO BE UNSUITABLE FOR REUSE AS FILL TO FINAL GRADES SHALL BE HAULED AND DISPOSED OF IN A CAR APPROVED UPLAND AREA OR OFFSITE DISPOSAL FACILITY.

TEMPORARY WATER BYPASS NOTES:

- THE TEMPORARY WATER BYPASS SHOWN ON THESE PLANS REPRESENT A SINGLE OPTION MEETING THE MINIMUM REQUIREMENTS FOR ANTICIPATED SITE CONDITIONS. FULL ISOLATION OF THE WORK AREA IS ASSUMED NECESSARY TO ESTABLISH RELATIVELY DRY CONDITIONS DURING THE IN-WATER WORK PERIOD COINCIDING WITH TYPICAL LOW-FLOW CONDITIONS. BYPASS FLOW ESTIMATES PROVIDED FOR CONTRACTOR CONVENIENCE.
- 2. FOURTEEN (14) CALENDAR DAYS BEFORE BEGINNING WORK THE CONTRACTOR SHALL SUBMIT WORKING DRAWINGS OF A CONTRACTOR DEVELOPED TEMPORARY WATER MANAGEMENT PLAN (TWMP) FOR CAR REVIEW AND APPROVAL. THE CONTRACTOR PREPARED TWMP SHALL BE BASED ON THE CONCEPT PLANS SHOWN OR AN INDEPENDENT PLAN THAT MEETS WATER QUALITY AND ENVIRONMENTAL GUIDELINE REQUIREMENTS. IMPLEMENTATION OF THE TWMP, INCLUDING CONSTRUCTION, MAINTENANCE, REPLACEMENT, UPGRADING, AND REMOVAL OF THE FACILITIES IS THE RESPONSIBILITY OF THE CONTRACTOR.
- 3. THE PRIMARY ELEMENTS OF THE CONCEPTUAL TWMP SHOWN ON C01 INCLUDE:
- FISH REMOVAL WITHIN THE WORK AREA,
- INSTALLATION OF ISOLATION BARRIER AND BYPASS PUMPING OF ALL UPSTREAM FLOW AROUND THE WORK AREA TO MAINTAIN
 DOWNSTREAM AQUATIC ORGANISM HABITAT,
- SEDIMENT CONTROL THROUGHOUT THE CONSTRUCTION PROCESS, AND
- REMOVAL OF THE ISOLATION BARRIER AND RE-WATERING OF THE WORK AREA.
- 3. DEWATERING OF THE ISOLATED WORK AREA IS ASSUMED TO BE NECESSARY TO ACHIEVE COMPACTION OF ALL FILLS.
- 4. PUMPING IS ASSUMED TO BE NECESSARY, SEE FISH SCREEN CRITERIA ON C01 .
- 5. FISH REMOVAL ACTIVITIES SHALL BE COORDINATED WITH THE CAR AND ODFW PRIOR TO ISOLATION TO ENSURE SALVAGE OF AQUATIC ORGANISMS.
- . MAINTAIN 1.5 FEET MINIMUM FREEBOARD BETWEEN THE TOP OF THE INSTALLED ISOLATION BARRIER AND STREAM WATER SURFACE.
- 7. ACTUAL LOCATIONS FOR ISOLATION AND BYPASS FACILITIES SHALL BE BASED ON SITE TOPOGRAPHY, AND ADJUSTED BASED ON BYPASS NEEDS DURING CONSTRUCTION.

SURVEY CONTROL POINT TABLE				
POINT #	NORTHING	EASTING	ELEV	DESCRIPTION
634	610247.8310	3950402.9330	14.138	CP2
635	612233.1500	3950313.4320	13.442	CP1



ABBREVIATIONS AND NOTATIONS:

0.00			
		NMF5	NATIONAL MARINE FISHERIES
		10	SERVICE, NOAA FISHERIES
PPROX		NO	NUMBER
MP	BEST MANAGEMENT PRACTICE(S)	NOAA	NATIONAL OCEANIC AND
ОТ	BOTTOM		ATMOSPHERIC ADMINISTRATION
AR	CONTRACTING AGENCY	NOM	NOMINAL
	REPRESENTATIVE, COOS	NTS	NOT TO SCALE
	WATERSHED ASSOCIATION	OAR	OREGON ADMINISTRATIVE RULE
	(COOSWA)	ODOT	OREGON DEPARTMENT OF
L	CENTERLINE		TRANSPORTATION
MP	CORRUGATED METAL PIPE	ODFW	OREGON DEPARTMENT OF FISH AND
OOSWA	COOS WATERSHED ASSOCIATION		WILDLIFE
UYD, CY	CUBIC YARD	OHW	ORDINARY HIGH WATER
BH	DIAMETER AT BREAST HEIGHT	OSHA	OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY AND HEALTH
EPT	DEPARTMENT		ADMINISTRATION
EQ	OREGON DEPARTMENT OF	PC	POINT OF CURVATURE
	ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY	PT	POINT, POINT OF TANGENCY
ET	DETAIL	SHT	SHEET
A.Ø	DIAMETER	SPEC	SPECIFICATION(S)
S	DOWNSTREAM	SQ	SQUARE
ŴG	DRAWING	STD	STANDARD
	EASTING	STA	STATION
А	EACH	SY, SQYD	SQUARE YARD
G	EXISTING GROUND	TB	TURBIDITY BARRIER
L, ELEV	ELEVATION	TBD	TO BE DEVELOPED, TO BE
sc	EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL		DETERMINED
SCP	EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL	TEMP	TEMPORARY
	PLAN	TWMP	TEMPORARY WATER MANAGEMENT
X. EXIST	EXISTING		PLAN
G	FINISHED GROUND	TYP	TYPICAL
BC	FLEXIBLE INTERMEDIATE BULK	US	UNITED STATES, UPSTREAM
	CONTAINER	USFWS	UNITED STATES FISH AND WILDLIFE
т. '	FOOT OR FEET		SERVICE
ORZ	HORIZONTAL	VERT	VERTICAL
1. "	INCH	W	WITH
	INVERT ELEVATION	W/O	WITHOUT
TR	LETTER	0	DEGREE
AX	MAXIMUM	0	AT
IIN	MINIMUM	#	NUMBER
	NORTH NORTHING	%	PERCENT
AD83	NORTH AMERICAN DATUM OF 1983		
AVD88	NORTH AMERICAN VERTICAL DATUM		
	OF 1988		
IC	NOT IN CONTRACT		

SYMBOLS:



#/LTR OF SECTION/DETAIL ON SHT SHOWN SHT # WHERE SECTION/DETAIL SHOWN



DRAWING NO.

SHEET NO.

G02

10

SEELANDER INTERIOR DRAINAGE FINAL DESIGN SUPPORT, PHASE II

GENERAL NOTES ABBREVIATIONS AND SYMBOLS

OF



- PER THESE PLANS AND DIRECTION OF THE CAR
- CATCHING SLOUGH IS INFLUENCED BY TIDAL FLUCTUATIONS AND UPSTREAM FLOWS
- 4. IF PUMPS ARE NECESSARY OR REQUIRED, ALL PUMP INTAKES SHALL BE EXCLUDED FROM FISH ACCESS PER CAR, ODFW, AND NMFS REQUIREMENTS. FISH SCREEN CRITERIA FROM NMFS SLOPES V

 - b. ALL OTHER DIVERSIONS WILL HAVE A FISH SCREEN THAT MEETS THE FOLLOWING SPECIFICATIONS:

 - NARROW DIMENSION
- c. EACH FISH SCREEN WILL BE INSTALLED, OPERATED, AND MAINTAINED ACCORDING TO NMFS'S FISH SCREEN CRITERIA.
- THE ESTIMATED UNNAMED TRIBUTARY DISCHARGES ARE PROVIDED FOR CONTRACTOR CONVENIENCE. THESE ARE ESTIMATED VALUES ONLY AND ARE BASED ON USGS REGIONA BASED ON SITE AND FLOW CONDITIONS DURING THE CONSTRUCTION PERIOD.
- 7. MINIMIZE EXCAVATION OF SHALLOW SUMPS FOR PUMP PLACEMENT TO THE EXTENT PRACTICAL
- 8. IF PARTIAL OR FULL ISOLATION METHODS ARE USED BY THE CONTRACTOR, MAINTAIN 2 FEET MIN FREEBOARD BETWEEN THE CATCHING SLOUGH WATER SURFACE AND THE TOP OF THE INSTALLED ISOLATION BARRIEF
- 9. ACTUAL LOCATIONS FOR TURBIDITY BARRIER, ISOLATION, PUMP, AND OTHER ESCP BMPS SHALL BE BASED ON SITE TOPOGRAPHY, EASEMENTS, AND ADJUSTED BASED ON SITE AND FLOW CONDITIONS DURING CONSTRUCTION.

PHASED WORK SEQUENCE:

PHASES 1 - DITCH PLUG

5.87

5.42

5.18

3 60

2.08

1.94

- SALVAGE OF AQUATIC ORGANISMS
- MINIMIZE EROSION.
- 3. EXCAVATE AND HAUL EXCESS MATERIAL FROM BORROW AREA FOR FILL AS DITCH PLUG PER PLANS
- 4. REMOVE EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL BMPS

PHASE 2 - CHANNEL AND AG CROSSING CONSTRUCTION

- SALVAGE OF AQUATIC ORGANISMS
- MINIMIZE EROSION.
 - 3. EXCAVATE CHANNEL AND PLACE THIN SPREAD FILL PER PLANS.
 - 4. CONSTRUCT AG CROSSINGS.
 - 5. REMOVE EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL BMPS.
 - 6. TO FLOW IN THE NEW CULVERT AND RESTORED CHANNELS/DITCHES.

PHASES 3 - BANK PULL BACK

PROJECT NO.

1.2023.0001.1

DESIGNED BY

RWK

- SALVAGE OF AQUATIC ORGANISMS
- MINIMIZE EROSION
- 3. EXCAVATE FOR BANK PULL BACK AND THIN SPREAD FILL PER PLANS.
- 4. REMOVE EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL BMPS.

DRAWN BY RWK

AS PER ESCP NOTE 1 ON G02 THE ELEMENTS AND SEQUENCED WORK AREAS SHOWN IN THESE PLANS ARE A SCHEMATIC REPRESENTATION OF ONE POSSIBLE OPTION ONLY. USING WORKING IN THE WET METHODS AND ASSUMING CONTRACTOR WORK AT LOW TIDES. CONTRACTOR MAY PROPOSE AN ALTERNATIVE APPROACH, INCLUDING PARTIAL OR FULL ISOLATION,

SITE SPECIFIC TIDAL DATUM ARE PROVIDED FOR CONTRACTOR REFERENCE ONLY. SITE SPECIFIC TIDAL DATUM ARE DERIVED USING NOAA MONTHLY MEANS SIMULTANEOUS COMPARISON METHOD BETWEEN NOAA'S CHARLESTON TIDE STATION (9432780) AND COOSWAS INSTALLED WATER LEVEL LOGGER NEAR THE CATCHING SLOUGH SIDE OF CULVERT 1 (CATCHING SLOUGH) AND THE INTERIOR DITCH SIDE OF CULVERT 1 (INTERIOR). THE WATER LEVEL TIMESERIES USED FOR ANALYSIS SPANS AUGUST 4. 2021 UNTIL DECEMBER 8. 2021. WATER LEVELS WITHIN THE WORK AREA ARE SUBJECT TO TIDAL AND LOCALIZED RUNOFF INFLOWS AND MAY VARY BEYOND THE ELEVATIONS SHOWN HERE. SITE SPECIFIC LOW WATER DATUM MAY BE INFLUENCED BY SEDIMENTATION WITHIN THE DRAINAGE CHANNEL. HIGH TIDE LINE (HTL) DATUM VALUES SHOWN FOR THE SITE ARE THE HIGHEST MEASURED COOSWA LOGGER VALUES ANALYZED WITH NOAA'S TIDAL ANALYSIS DATUM CALCULATOR, AND ARE ASSUMED TO ALIGN WITH ELEVATIONS ASSOCIATED WITH WRACKED DEBRIS OR SCUM LINES IF FIELD DELINEATED. THUS, THESE HTL VALUES ARE ASSUMED TO MEET THE REQUIREMENTS OF 33 CODE OF FEDERAL REGULATIONS SECTION 328.3 AND THE USACE JURISDICTIONAL BOUNDARY EXTENT UNDER SECTION 404

a. SUBMIT TO NMFS FOR REVIEW AND APPROVAL FISH SCREEN DESIGNS FOR SURFACE WATER DIVERTED BY GRAVITY OR BY PUMPING AT A RATE THAT EXCEEDS 3 CFS.

AN AUTOMATED CLEANING DEVICE WITH A MIN EFFECTIVE SURFACE AREA OF 2.5 SQUARE FEET PER CFS, AND A NOMINAL MAXIMUM APPROACH VELOCITY OF 0.4 FEET PER SECOND, OR NO AUTOMATED CLEANING DEVICE, A MIN EFFECTIVE SURFACE AREA OF 1 SQUARE FOOT PER CFS, AND A NOM MAX APPROACH RATE OF 0.2 FOOT PER SECOND; AND ii. A ROUND OR SQUARE SCREEN MESH THAT IS NO LARGER THAN 2.38 MM (0.094") IN THE NARROW DIMENSION, OR ANY OTHER SHAPE THAT IS NO LARGER THAN 1.75 MM (0.069") IN THE

PUMPED WATER MAYBE DISCHARGED WITHIN UPSLOPE FIELDS OR UNNAMED TRIBUTARY CHANNELS PROVIDED THAT SUFFICIENT ENERGY DISSIPATION IS ACHIEVED SO AS TO PREVENT EROSION AND SCOUR. ENERGY DISSIPATION MAY BE POSSIBLE USING EXISTING VEGETATION FOR FLOW DISPERSION OR ANOTHER CONTRACTOR PROPOSED AND CAR APPROVED BMP REGRESSIONS EXTRAPOLATED WITH UNKNOWN ERRORS DUE TO PARAMETERS OUTSIDE OF THE SUGGESTED REGRESSION GOODNESS OF FIT RANGE. SIZING OF THE TEMPORARY WATER MANAGEMENT PUMPS, PIPES, HOSES, SEDIMENT CONTROL FACILITIES, ENERGY DISSIPATORS, AND OTHER APPURTENANCES IS THE RESPONSIBILITY OF THE CONTRACTOR AND SHALL BE

1. FISH SALVAGE IS REQUIRED, COORDINATE WITH CAR AND ODFW PRIOR TO ESTABLISHING WORKING IN THE WET OR ISOLATION ACTIVITIES TO ENSURE

2. INSTALL AND MAINTAIN TEMPORARY EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL BMPS TO PREVENT OFFSITE CONVEYANCE OF SEDIMENT LADEN WATER AND

5. CONSTRUCTION COMPLETION AND STABILIZATION WILL INCLUDE REMOVAL OF ALL EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL BMPS.

1. FISH SALVAGE IS REQUIRED, COORDINATE WITH CAR AND ODFW PRIOR TO ESTABLISHING WORKING IN THE WET OR ISOLATION ACTIVITIES TO ENSURE

2. INSTALL AND MAINTAIN TEMPORARY EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL BMPS TO PREVENT OFFSITE CONVEYANCE OF SEDIMENT LADEN WATER AND

CONSTRUCTION COMPLETION AND STABILIZATION WILL INCLUDE REMOVAL OF ALL EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL BMPS, AND ALLOWING WATER

1. FISH SALVAGE IS REQUIRED, COORDINATE WITH CAR AND ODFW PRIOR TO ESTABLISHING WORKING IN THE WET OR ISOLATION ACTIVITIES TO ENSURE

2. INSTALL AND MAINTAIN TEMPORARY EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL BMPS TO PREVENT OFFSITE CONVEYANCE OF SEDIMENT LADEN WATER AND

5. CONSTRUCTION COMPLETION AND STABILIZATION WILL INCLUDE REMOVAL OF ALL EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL BMPS.



SEELANDER INTERIOR DRAINAGE FINAL DESIGN SUPPORT, PHASE II

EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL PLAN

DRAV	WING NO.
	C01
SHEE	T NO. 3 10



SEELANDER INTERIOR DRAINAGE FINAL DESIGN SUPPORT, PHASE II

EXISTING CONDITIONS PLAN



BAR MEASURES 1" FOR 22" X 34" PLOT

DRAWING NO.



BLE				
CLAS	SIFICATION			
	SPECIAL MODIFIERS	CODE	AREA (ACRES)	
	PARTLY DRAINED/DITCHED	PEM1Ad	5.43	
DED	PARTLY DRAINED/DITCHED	PEM1Cd	5.52	
	DIKED/IMPOUNDED	PFOAh	0.61	
DAL	-	PEM1R	1.65	
DED	PARTLY DRAINED/DITCHED	PEM1Cd	21.81	
			35.02	
AND INVENTORY, AVAILABLE ONLINE AT				



UT AND FILL SUMMARY			
CY)	FILL (CY)	AREA (AC)	
5	0	0.14	
	225	0.56	
	270	0.67	
5	495	1.37	



GENERAL NOTES

- 1. CAR TO SUPPLY AG CROSSING AND CONTRACTOR TO CONSTRUCT AG CROSSING ABUTMENTS, PLACE AG CROSSING, AND CONSTRUCT GRADED AG CROSSING APPROACHES.
- AG CROSSING APPROACHES SHALL BE CONSTRUCTED USING NATIVE MATERIAL EXCAVATED FOR PLACEMENT OF AG CROSSING ABUTMENT STABILIZATION MAT AND PRE-CAST CONCRETE ABUTMENT BLOCK. LONGITUDINAL SLOPING AT 6:1 (MAX) AND OUTSLOPES AT 3:1 (MAX). MATCH TRAVEL LANE WIDTH IN APPROACH TO AG CROSSING WIDTH.
 NO ROCK TO BE PLACED IN AG CROSSING APPROACHES.
- NO ROCK TO BE PLACED IN AG CROSSING APPROACHES.
 SEE C08 FOR ADDITIONAL DETAILS AND CONSTRUCTION NOTES.

AG CROSSING 1 LAYOUT COORDINATES TABLE				
POINT #	NORTHING	EASTING		
1142	610684.3	3949968		
1143	610682.1	3949974		
1144	610670.6	3950005		
1145	610668.3	3950011		
1146	610660.6	3950005		
1147	610650.7	3950005		
1148	610653	3949998		
1149	610664.5	3949968		
1150	610666.7	3949962		
1151	610674.5	3949968		

AG CROSSING 1 MATERIAL SCHEDULE		
MATERIAL	QUANTITY PER FEATURE	
40' AG CROSSING	1	
SUBGRADE GEOTEXTILE, TYPE 2 (NONWOVEN), FOR AGGREGATE STABILIZATION MAT	160 SY	
1 1/2" MINUS, FOR AGGREGATE STABILIZATION MAT	6.3 TN	
6-8" MINUS, FOR AGGREGATE STABILIZATION MAT	19 TN	
PRE-CAST CONCRETE ABUTMENT BLOCKS	6 EA (MIN)	
IATIVE MATERIAL OVEREXCAVATION FOR AGGREGATE STABILIZATION MAT MATERIAL AND PRE-CAST CONCRETE ABUTMENT BLOCK ACEMENT. BACKFILL NATIVE MATERIAL FOR BRIDGE APPROACHES PER NOTES	25 CY	

SEELANDER INTERIOR DRAINAGE FINAL DESIGN SUPPORT, PHASE II

AG CROSSING 1 PLAN



81



GENERAL NOTES

- 1. CAR TO SUPPLY AG CROSSING AND CONTRACTOR TO CONSTRUCT AG CROSSING ABUTMENTS, PLACE AG CROSSING, AND CONSTRUCT GRADED AG CROSSING APPROACHES.
- 2. AG CROSSING APPROACHES SHALL BE CONSTRUCTED USING NATIVE MATERIAL EXCAVATED FOR PLACEMENT OF AG CROSSING ABUTMENT STABILIZATION MAT AND PRE-CAST CONCRETE ABUTMENT BLOCK. LONGITUDINAL SLOPING AT 6:1 (MAX) AND OUTSLOPES AT 3:1 (MAX). MATCH TRAVEL LANE WIDTH IN APPROACH TO AG CROSSING WIDTH.
- 3. NO ROCK TO BE PLACED IN AG CROSSING APPROACHES. 4. SEE C08 FOR ADDITIONAL DETAILS AND CONSTRUCTION NOTES.

AG CROSSING 2 LAYOUT COORDINATES TABLE		
POINT #	NORTHING	EASTING
1152	610635.8	3950415
1153	610629.3	3950415
1154	610596.5	3950413
1155	610590	3950413
1156	610593.5	3950403
1157	610591.1	3950394
1158	610597.5	3950394
1159	610630.4	3950396
1160	610636.8	3950397
1161	610633.3	3950406

AG CROSSING 2 MATERIAL SCHEDULE		
MATERIAL	QUANTITY PER FEATURE	
40' AG CROSSING	1	
SUBGRADE GEOTEXTILE, TYPE 2 (NONWOVEN), FOR AGGREGATE STABILIZATION MAT	160 SY	
1 1/2" MINUS, FOR AGGREGATE STABILIZATION MAT	6.3 TN	
6-8" MINUS, FOR AGGREGATE STABILIZATION MAT	19 TN	
PRE-CAST CONCRETE ABUTMENT BLOCKS	6 EA (MIN)	
ATIVE MATERIAL OVEREXCAVATION FOR AGGREGATE STABILIZATION MAT MATERIAL AND PRE-CAST CONCRETE ABUTMENT BLOCK ACEMENT. BACKFILL NATIVE MATERIAL FOR BRIDGE APPROACHES PER NOTES	25 CY	



SEELANDER INTERIOR DRAINAGE FINAL DESIGN SUPPORT, PHASE II

AG CROSSING 2 PLAN





CUT AND FILL SUMMARY			
	CUT (CY)	FILL (CY)	AREA (AC)
EE C02)	290	0	0.09
G	0	290	0.06
	290	290	0.15



SHOWN. CHES-THICK. 3-INCH-THICK LAYER FOR THE ENTIRE EXTENT SHOWN. ACTUAL R SMALLER UNIFORM LAYER HEIGHT. ENT AND TEMPORARY FEATURES SHOWN ON THE PLANS AND PER		
MINIMIZED. TOPSOIL REMOVED DURING CLEARING PER DIRECTION OF CAR. OF CAR AND ENGINEER OF FG.		
AINAGE FINAL DESIGN SUPPORT, PHASE II	DRAWING NO. C07	
Y BANK PULL BANK	SHEET NO. 9 OF 10	
BAR ME	ASURES 1" FOR 22" X 34" PLOT	

UT AND FILL SUMMARY		
CY)	FILL (CY)	AREA (AC)
	0	0.07
	40	0.03
	40	0.10

(4`



TRIBUTARY 1 TOWARDS CULVERT 1 AND

CATCHING SLOUGH AT BANK PULL BACK AREAS

Scale: NTS



DATE

NO





20-JAN-2021

RD1000.dgn

Effective Date: June 1, 2023 - November 30, 2023





20-JAN-2021

dgn RD1040.

FENCE SPACING FOR GENERAL APPLICATION TABLE

INSTALL PARALLEL ALONG CONTOURS AS FOLLOWS

GRADE	MAXIMUM SPACING ON GRADE
<i>Grade < 10%</i>	300'
1 <i>0% <u><</u> Grade < 15%</i>	150'
1 <i>5% <u><</u> Grade < 20%</i>	100'
20% <u><</u> Grade < 30%	50'
<i>30% ≤ Grade</i>	25'

6' Sediment Fence with Geotextile elongation less than 50% 4' Sediment Fence with Geotextile elongation 50% or more

		All materials shall be in accordance with the current Oregon Standard Specifications.	
and use of this	OREGON STANDARD DRAWINGS		
ing, while			
cordance with	SEDIMENT FENCE		
oted engineering			
practices, is the	2021		
ility of the user	DATE	REVISION DESCRIPTION	
t be used without	01-2021	REMOVED CALC BOOK NUMBERS	
a Registered			
aineer.			
	CALC. BOOK NO	DN/A DATE_ 20-JAN-2021_ RD1040	
te: June 1 2023 - November 30 2023			

Effective Date: June 1, 2023 – November 30, 2023











TIRE WASH - TYPE 2

NOT TO SCALE



Effective Date: June 1, 2023 - November 30, 2023
SEDIMENT BARRIER FLOATING



Flotation – 8" Dia. equivalent

Registered Professional Engineer.

OREGON DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION TECHNICAL SERVICES DETAILS

TURBIDITY BARRIER

DETAIL NO.

DET6006



PROFILE

Ryan Kilgren, P.E. is a civil and water resources engineer and principal owner of Kilgren Water Resources, Inc. He has over 18 years of experience applying hydrologic, hydraulic, and ecosystem sciences towards planning and design projects aimed at enhancing environmental and human landscape uses. He has specialized knowledge related to stream and wetland restoration analysis and design considerations. He is knowledgeable on a range of analysis and design software packages and field techniques, including AutoCAD Civil 3D, HEC-RAS, and topographic surveying.

CONTACT

PHONE: 971-409-4023

EMAIL: <u>Ryan.Kilgren@KilgrenWaterResources.com</u>

PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER:

- Oregon #83634PE
- Washington #48091

RELEVANT EXPERTISE

- Floodplain Permitting
- Hydraulic Modeling
- Habitat Restoration Design
- Topographic Surveying

RYAN KILGREN, P.E. Civil & Water Resources Engineer KILGREN WATER RESOURCES, LLC.

EDUCATION & SPECIAL TRAININGS

River Restoration Northwest, OR River Sediment Dynamics Short Course, 2020

University of California Berkeley, Sagehen Field Station, CA Geomorphic & Ecological Fundamentals of Stream Restoration, 2014

Oregon Health & Science University MS Environmental Science & Engineering, 2006

Michigan Technological University BS Environmental Engineering, 2003

EMPLOYMENT HISTORY

Kilgren Water Resources, LLC, Eugene, OR Civil & Water Resources Engineer, 2021-Present

Stillwater Sciences, Eugene, OR Restoration Engineer, 2020-2021

Tetra Tech, Portland and Eugene, OR Water Resources Engineer, 2012-2020

DHI, Portland, OR Water Resources Engineer, 2008-2012

AMEC, Portland, OR Engineer in Training, 2006-2008

SELECTED EXPERIENCE

Bronson Creek Greenway & Floodplain Enhancement, Cascade Environmental Group on behalf of Tualatin Hills Parks & Recreation District (THPRD). Bethany, OR. 2022-2023. Role: Engineering design and hydraulic analysis

Tualatin Hills Parks & Recreation Department are proceeding with engienering designs to enhance and restore multi-species ecological functions and physical processes to the Bronson Creek Greenway at Laidlaw property. Kilgren Water Resources is supporting Cascade Environmental Group with design services. As part of this effort, Ryan led a site topographic and bathymetric field survey and developed site basemapping for use in design analysis, development of site grading plans, and permitting figures. Additionally, Ryan is responsible for floodplain hydraulic modeling using HEC-RAS for design assessment and permitting, as well as design alternative and planset support. The restoration efforts are in part focused on uplift for native turtles. Ryan prepared floodplain compliance (i.e., "no-rise") documentation and coordinated with Washington County planning staff to support project permitting.

BPA, Prosser Hatchery Acclimation, Tetra Tech Project No. 200-019968-22001. Prosser, WA. 2022-2023.

Role: Engineering design and hydraulic analysis

Bonneville Power Administration (BPA) has contracted Tetra Tech to develop proposed renovation designs for the Prosser Hatchery, located in Prosser,

RYAN KILGREN, P.E.

Civil & Water Resources Engineer KILGREN WATER RESOURCES, LLC

Washington on the Yakima River. Kilgren WaterResources is supporting Tetra Tech with permitting services related to floodplain compliance (i.e., "no-rise"). As part of this effort, Ryan is responsible for floodplain hydraulic modeling using HEC-RAS for design assessment and permitting, as well as providing input on design development related to floodplain mitigation.

Government Island Restoration Project, Columbia River Estuary Study Taskforce (CREST)*. Astoria, OR. 2016-2020. Role: Engineering design and hydraulic modeling

Government Island is owned and managed by the Port of Portland and the State of Oregon Parks & Recreation Department. Habitats on the island include upland forest, riparian forest, grasslands, and freshwater wetlands. A significant portion of the wetlands on the island are held as mitigation lands for the Port of Portland. This project is focused on examining the feasibility, alternatives development, and initial designs for restoration actions that could enhance wetland functionality and juvenile salmonid access at the site, while not jeopardizing the mitigation needs of the Port of Portland. Prior to establishing Kilgren Water Resources, Ryan Kilgren worked for an engineering consulting company that assisted the Columbia River Estuary Study **Taskforce (CREST) with the planning and design. Ryan led the design and floodplain compliance (i.e., "no-rise") permitting** process, among other tasks. Upland hibernaculum features targeting herptiles and pollinator species uplift were integral to the designs which focused on restoring hydrologic connectivity to off-channel areas and improving the coverage of native plantings. In addition to the fish focused design aspects, the designs incorporated beaver dam analogs (BDAs) identified for adaptive management implementation if post-construction conditions proved adverse to the Port's mitigation needs.

Winter Lake Estuary Restoration Project, The Nature Conservancy (TNC)*. Coquille, OR. 2014-2019. Role: Engineering design and hydraulic modeling

Winter Lake provides combined working landscape benefits for pasture grazing and slow-water refugia off-channel habitat for coho salmonids. The site is inundated from daily tidal cycles and more significantly during seasonal high flows. The Nature Conservancy led the engineering and construction contracting to develop and implement restoration designs that targeted over winter coho habitat by hydrologically isolating the project area from other properities within BSDD, installation of muted tidal regulated culverts (during project previous a phase), and reconnection/enhancement of remnant channels and tidal wetland. Prior to establishing Kilgren Water Resources, Ryan Kilgren worked for an engineering consulting company that assisted the TNC with the planning and design. Ryan led the design and floodplain compliance (i.e., "no-rise") permitting process, among other tasks.

Willamette Confluence Preserve Restoration Project, The Nature Conservancy (TNC)*. Eugene, OR. 20014-2019. Role: Lead habitat restoration design and construction implementation support engineer

The Willamette Confluence Preserve (WCP) is located at the confluence of the Coast Fork Willamette River and Middle Fork Willamette River, and is comprised of approximately 1,200 acres of floodplain area owned by The Nature Conservancy, Friends of Buford Park, and Oregon State Parks and Recreation Department. The properties were historically mined for gravel and as such are comprised of numerous remnant features including haul truck roads, antiquated culverts, and large previously mined gravel pits that are now ponded areas within the WCP. The site represents a unique and large-scale opportunity to create numerous off channel refugia, remove invasive and restore native plant communities, and encourage natural geomorphic and biological functions. The Nature Conservancy in partnership with the Friends of Buford Park procured engineering consulting services to prepare and evaluate restoration alternatives for the site, and following selection of the preferred restoration alternative, prepare construction ready design plans, specifications, and estimates. Ryan supported multiple project phases, including leading survey efforts to support design and modeling. He conducted two-dimensional hydraulic model analyses of the restoration alternatives using the SRH-2D model to assess the potential to improve multiple species habitat conditions with emphasis on salmonids. He also performed one-dimensional hydraulic modeling using the HEC-RAS model and prepared documentation for Lane County's "no-rise" permiting process. The modeling work was further used to support design analyses, including sediment and grade control stability criteria calculations. During the final design phase, Ryan served as the lead engineer for delivery of design plans, specifications, and cost/quantity estimates. Ryan supported three phases of construction during the summers of 2016, 2017, and 2018.

* Denotes project completed prior to establishing Kilgren Water Resources.